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1. Introduction

Domain name registries usually conduct maintenances and inform domain name registrars in different ways. Given the expansion of the DNS namespace, it is now desirable to provide a method for EPP servers to notify EPP clients as well as a method for EPP clients to query EPP servers for upcoming maintenances.

This document describes an extension mapping for version 1.0 of the Extensible Provision Protocol [RFC5730]. This mapping provides a mechanism by which EPP servers may notify and EPP clients to query for upcoming maintenances.

1.1. Terminology and Definitions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119] when specified in their uppercase forms.

XML is case sensitive. Unless stated otherwise, XML specifications moreover, examples provided in this document MUST be interpreted in the character case presented to develop a conforming implementation.

In examples, "C:" represents lines sent by a protocol client and "S:" represents lines returned by a protocol server. Indentation and white space in examples are provided only to illustrate element relationships and are not a REQUIRED feature of this protocol.

2. Object Attributes

2.1. Internationalized Domain Names

Names of affected hosts MUST be provided in Punycode according to [RFC5891].

2.2. Dates and Times

All dates and times attribute values MUST be expressed in Universal Coordinated Time (UTC) using the Gregorian calendar. The extended date-time form using upper case "T" and "Z" characters defined in ISO 8601 [RFC3339] MUST be used to represent date-time values.
2.3. Maintenance Elements

The `<maint:maint>` element describes a single registry maintenance event during a specific period. This element will be used at EPP `<poll>` messages and to extend the EPP `<info>` command.

For creating a new maintenance the attribute `<maint:status>` MUST be 'active', the attribute `<maint:crDate>` MUST be set and the attribute `<maint:upDate>` SHALL NOT be present.

For updating a maintenance the attribute `<maint:status>` MUST be 'active', the attributes `<maint:crDate>` and `<maint:upDate>` MUST be set.

For deleting a maintenance the attribute `<maint:status>` MUST be 'inactive', and the attributes `<maint:crDate>` and `<maint:upDate>` MUST be set.

- `<maint:id>`
  MUST be present and a UUID according [RFC4122] and SHALL NOT be changed if maintenance got updated or deleted. A human-readable description of the maintenance is identified via an OPTIONAL "msg" attribute.

- `<maint:systems>`
  MUST be present and contains one or more `<maint:system>` elements. The server SHOULD NOT list systems which are not affected by the maintenance.

- `<maint:system>`
  MUST be present at least once and is an element of `<maint:name>`, `<maint:host>` and `<maint:impact>`.

- `<maint:name>`
  MUST be present and indicates the name of the affected system, such as 'EPP', 'WHOIS', 'DNS', 'Portal', etc.

- `<maint:host>`
  MUST be present and indicates the affected maintained system (host or IP address).
  Hostname SHALL be Punycode according [RFC5891].
  IPv4 addresses SHALL be dotted-decimal notation.
  An example of this textual representation is "192.0.2.0".
  IPv6 addresses SHALL be according [RFC5952].
  An example of this textual representation is "2001:db8::1:0:0:1".
<maint:impact>
MUST be present and contains the impact level; values SHOULD either be 'blackout' or 'partial'.

</maint:impact>

<maint:environment>
MUST be present and indicates the type of the affected system; values SHOULD either be 'production', 'ote', 'staging' or 'dev'.

</maint:environment>

<maint:start>
MUST be present and indicates the start of the maintenance according ISO 8601 [RFC3339].
Format: YYYYY-MM-DDThh:mm:ssTZ

</maint:start>

<maint:end>
MUST be present and indicates the end of the maintenance according to ISO 8601 [RFC3339], and MUST be equal to or greater than <maint:start>.
Format: YYYYY-MM-DDThh:mm:ssTZ

</maint:end>

<maint:reason>
MUST be present and contains the reason behind the maintenance; values SHOULD either be 'planned' or 'emergency'.

</maint:reason>

<maint:detail>
MAY be present and contains URI to detailed maintenance description.

</maint:detail>

<maint:description>
MAY be present and provides a freeform description of the maintenance without having to create and traverse an external resource. The maximum length MUST NOT exceed 1024 bit.

</maint:description>

<maint:tlds>
MUST be present and contains <maint:tld> elements.

</maint:tlds>

<maint:tld>
MUST be present and contains the affected top-level domain. Punycode encoded according to [RFC5891].

</maint:tld>

<maint:intervention>
MUST be present and contains <maint:connection> and <maint:implementation>.

</maint:intervention>

<maint:connection>
MUST be present and indicates if a client needs to do something that is connection-related, such as a reconnect. The value SHALL be boolean.

</maint:connection>

<maint:implementation>
MUST be present and indicates if a client needs to do something that is implementation-related, such as a code change. The value SHALL be boolean.
<maint:status>
MUST be present and indicates the status of the maintenance.
The value SHALL be either ‘active’ or ‘inactive’.

<maint:crDate>
MUST be present and contains the creation date of the maintenance
according ISO 8601 [RFC3339].
Format: YYYY-MM-DDThh:mm:ssTZ

<maint:upDate>
MAY be present and contains the updated date of the maintenance
according to ISO 8601 [RFC3339], and if set MUST be equal to or
greater than <main:crDate>.
Format: YYYY-MM-DDThh:mm:ssTZ

3. EPP Command Mapping

A detailed description of the EPP syntax and semantics can be found
in the EPP core protocol specification [RFC5730]. The command
mappings described here are specifically for the use to notify of
Registry Maintenances and Registry Maintenance object mapping.

3.1. EPP Query Commands

EPP [RFC5730] provides three commands to retrieve object information:
<check> to determine if an object is known to the server, <info> to
retrieve detailed information associated with an object, and
<transfer> to retrieve object transfer status information.

3.1.1. EPP <check> Command

Available check semantics do not apply to maintenance objects, so
there is no mapping defined for the EPP <check> command.

3.1.2. EPP <transfer> Command

Transfer semantics do not apply to maintenance objects, so there is
no mapping defined for the EPP <transfer> command.

3.1.3. EPP <info> Command

EPP provides the <info> command that is used to retrieve registry
maintenance information. In addition to the standard EPP command
elements, the <info> command MUST contain a <maint:info>
element that identifies the maintenance namespace. The <maint:info>
element MUST contain a child element. It is either <maint:id> to
retrieve a specific maintenance notification or <maint:list> to
query all maintenance notifications.
Example `<info>` command with `<maint:id>` to get one specific maintenance:

```xml
C:<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8" standalone="no"?>
C:<epp xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:epp-1.0">
C:  <command>
C:    <info>
C:      <maint:info
C:        xmlns:maint="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:maintenance-0.2">
C:        <maint:id>2e6df9b0-4092-4491-bcc8-9fb2166dcee6</maint:id>
C:      </maint:info>
C:    </info>
C:    <clTRID>ABC-12345</clTRID>
C:  </command>
C:</epp>
```

Example `<info>` response for one specific maintenance notification:

```xml
S:<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
S:<epp xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:epp-1.0">
S:   <response>
S:      <result code="1000">
S:         <msg>Command completed successfully</msg>
S:      </result>
S:      <resData>
S:        <maint:infData
S:          xmlns:maint="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:maintenance-0.2">
S:          <maint:maint>
S:            <maint:id>2e6df9b0-4092-4491-bcc8-9fb2166dcee6
S:            </maint:id>
S:            <maint:systems>
S:              <maint:system>
S:                <maint:name>EPP</maint:name>
S:                <maint:host>epp.registry.example</maint:host>
S:                <maint:impact>blackout</maint:impact>
S:              </maint:system>
S:            </maint:systems>
S:            <maint:environment type="production"/>
S:            <maint:start>2017-09-30T06:00:00Z</maint:start>
S:            <maint:end>2017-09-30T14:25:57Z</maint:end>
S:            <maint:reason>planned</maint:reason>
S:            <maint:detail>
S:                https://www.registry.example/notice?123
S:            </maint:detail>
```
Example <info> command with <maint:list> to query all maintenances:

C:<xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8" standalone="no"?>
C:<epp xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:epp-1.0">
C:  <command>
C:    <info>
C:      <maint:info xmlns:maint="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:maintenance-0.2">
C:        <maint:list/>
C:      </maint:info>
C:    </info>
C:    <clTRID>ABC-12345</clTRID>
C:  </command>
C:</epp>

Example <info> response querying all maintenances:

S:<xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
S:<epp xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:epp-1.0">
S:  <response>
S:    <result code="1000">
S:      <msg>Command completed successfully</msg>
S:    </result>
S:    <resData>
S:      <maint:infData xmlns:maint="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:maintenance-0.2">
S:        ...
S:      </maint:infData>
S:    </resData>
S:  </response>
S:</epp>
3.1.4. EPP <poll> Command

The EPP <poll> command and response is defined in Section 2.9.2.3 of [RFC5730]. The Registry Maintenance Notification is included in the EPP <poll> response of [RFC5730].

For the Registry Maintenance Notification, there are three types of poll messages. The poll messages apply whenever the domain name registry creates, updates or deletes maintenance. In the case of a Registry Maintenance specific message, a <maint:infData> element will be included within the <resData> element of the standard <poll> response.

The <maint:infData> element will include a reference to the Registry Maintenance namespace. EPP data contained within the <maint:infData> element is formatted according to the maintenance-poll schema.
Example `<poll>` command:

```
C::<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8" standalone="no"?>
C:<epp xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:epp-1.0">
C:  <command>
C:    <poll op="req"/>
C:    <clTRID>ABC-12345</clTRID>
C:  </command>
C:</epp>
```

Example `<poll>` response with the Registry Maintenance poll message:

```
S::<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8" standalone="no"?>
S:<epp xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:epp-1.0">
S:  <response>
S:    <result code="1301">
S:      <msg>Command completed successfully; ack to dequeue</msg>
S:    </result>
S:  <msgQ count="1" id="12345">
S:    <qDate>2017-02-08T22:10:00Z</qDate>
S:    <msg>Registry Maintenance Notification</msg>
S:  </msgQ>
S:  <resData>
S:    <maint:infData
S:      xmlns:maint="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:maintenance-0.2">
S:      <maint:maint>
S:        <maint:id>2e6df9b0-4092-4491-bcc8-9fb2166dcee6</maint:id>
S:        <maint:systems>
S:          <maint:system>
S:            <maint:name>EPP</maint:name>
S:            <maint:host>epp.registry.example</maint:host>
S:            <maint:impact>blackout</maint:impact>
S:          </maint:system>
S:        </maint:systems>
S:        <maint:environment type="production"/>
S:        <maint:start>2017-10-30T06:00:00Z</maint:start>
S:        <maint:end>2017-10-30T14:25:57Z</maint:end>
S:        <maint:reason>planned</maint:reason>
S:        <maint:detail>
S:          https://www.registry.example/notice?123
S:        </maint:detail>
S:        <maint:tlds>
S:          <maint:tld>example</maint:tld>
S:          <maint:tld>test</maint:tld>
S:        </maint:tlds>
S:        <maint:intervention>
S:          <maint:connection>false</maint:connection>
S:          <maint:implementation>false</maint:implementation>
S:        </maint:intervention>
```

3.2. EPP Transform Commands

EPP provides five commands to transform objects: <create> to create an instance of an object, <delete> to delete an instance of an object, <renew> to extend the validity period of an object, <transfer> to manage object sponsorship changes, and <update> to change information associated with an object.

3.2.1. EPP <create> Command

Create semantics do not apply to maintenance objects, so there is no mapping defined for the EPP <create> command.

3.2.2. EPP <delete> Command

Delete semantics do not apply to maintenance objects, so there is no mapping defined for the EPP <delete> command.

3.2.3. EPP <renew> Command

Renew semantics do not apply to maintenance objects, so there is no mapping defined for the EPP <renew> command.

3.2.4. EPP <transfer> Command

Transfer semantics do not apply to maintenance objects, so there is no mapping defined for the EPP <transfer> command.

3.2.5. EPP <update> Command

Update semantics do not apply to maintenance objects, so there is no mapping defined for the EPP <update> command.
4. Formal Syntax

One schema is presented here that is the EPP Registry Maintenance schema.

The formal syntax presented here is a complete schema representation of the object mapping suitable for automated validation of EPP XML instances. The BEGIN and END tags are not part of the schema; they are used to note the beginning and end of the schema for URI registration purposes.

4.1. Registry Maintenance EPP Mapping Schema

BEGIN
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<schema targetNamespace="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:maintenance-0.2"
xmlns:eppcom="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:eppcom-1.0"
xmlns:epp="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:epp-1.0"
xmlns:maint="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:maintenance-0.2"
xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
elementFormDefault="qualified">
<!--
Import common element types
--> 
<import namespace="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:eppcom-1.0"/>
<import namespace="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:epp-1.0"/>

<annotation>
<documentation>
Extensible Provisioning Protocol v1.0
</documentation>
</annotation>

<!--
Child elements found in EPP commands.
--> 
<element name="info" type="maint:infoType"/>

<!--
Child elements of the <info> command.
--> 
<complexType name="infoType">
<sequence>
<choice>
<element name="list"></complexType>
</annotation>
</complexType>
Human-readable text may be expresses the maintenance

<!--
Info Response element
-->
<complexType name="idType">
  <simpleContent>
    <extension base="normalizedString">
      <attribute name="msg" type="token"/>
    </extension>
  </simpleContent>
</complexType>

<!--
Info Response element
-->
<element name="infData" type="maint:infDataType"/>

<!--
Info Response element
-->
<complexType name="infDataType">
  <choice>
    <element name="list" type="maint:listDataType"/>
    <element name="maint" type="maint:maintDataType"/>
  </choice>
</complexType>

<!--
Attributes associated with the list info response
-->
<complexType name="listDataType">
  <sequence>
    <element name="maint" type="maint:maintItemType" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
  </sequence>
</complexType>

<!--
Attributes associated with the list item info response
-->
<complexType name="maintItemType">
  <sequence>
    <element name="id" type="maint:idType"/>
    <element name="start" type="dateTime" minOccurs="0"/>
    <element name="end" type="dateTime" minOccurs="0"/>
    <element name="crDate" type="dateTime"/>
    <element name="upDate" type="dateTime" minOccurs="0"/>
  </sequence>
</complexType>
<!--
Attributes associated with the maintenance info response
-->
<complexType name="maintDataType">
  <sequence>
    <element name="id" type="maint:idType"/>
    <element name="systems" type="maint:systemsType"/>
    <element name="environment" type="maint:envType"/>
    <element name="start" type="dateTime"/>
    <element name="end" type="dateTime"/>
    <element name="reason" type="maint:reasonEnum"/>
    <element name="detail" type="token" minOccurs="0"/>
    <element name="description" type="maint:descriptionType" minOccurs="0"/>
    <element name="tlds" type="maint:tldsType"/>
    <element name="intervention" type="maint:interventionType"/>
    <element name="status" type="maint:statusEnum"/>
    <element name="crDate" type="dateTime"/>
    <element name="upDate" type="dateTime" minOccurs="0"/>
  </sequence>
</complexType>

<!--
systems element
-->
<complexType name="systemsType">
  <sequence>
    <element name="system" type="maint:systemType" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
  </sequence>
</complexType>

<!--
Enumerated list of impacts
-->
<simpleType name="impactEnum">
  <restriction base="token">
    <enumeration value="partial"/>
    <enumeration value="blackout"/>
  </restriction>
</simpleType>

<!--
description element
-->
<complexType name="descriptionType">
  <restriction base="string">
    <maxLength value="1024"/>
  </restriction>
</complexType>

<!--
system element
-->

<complexType name="systemType">
  <sequence>
    <element name="name" type="token"/>
    <element name="host" type="token"/>
    <element name="impact" type="maint:impactEnum"/>
  </sequence>
</complexType>

<!--
Enumerated list of environments
-->
<complexType name="envType">
  <simpleContent>
    <extension base="token">
      <attribute name="type" type="maint:envEnum" use="required"/>
      <attribute name="name" type="token" use="optional"/>
    </extension>
  </simpleContent>
</complexType>

<!--
Enumerated list of reasons
-->
<complexType name="tldsType">
  <sequence>
    <element name="tld" type="eppcom:labelType" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
  </sequence>
</complexType>
5.  IANA Considerations

5.1.  XML Namespace

This document uses URNs to describe XML namespaces and XML schemas
conforming to a registry mechanism defined in [RFC3688].

Registration request for the maintenance namespace:

URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:maintenance-1.0

Registrant Contact: IESG

XML: None. Namespace URIs do not represent an XML specification.

Registration request for the maintenance schema:

URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:schema:maintenance-1.0

Registrant Contact: IESG

XML: See the "Formal Syntax" section of this document.
5.2. EPP Extension Registry

The following registration of the EPP Extension Registry, described in [RFC7451], is requested:

Name of Extension: "Registry Maintenance Notifications for the Extensible Provisioning Protocol (EPP)"

Document status: Standards Track

Reference: (insert the reference to RFC version of this document)

Registrant Name and Email Address: IESG, <iesg@ietf.org>

TLDs: Any

IPR Disclosure: None

Status: Active

Notes: None

6. Security Considerations

The mapping extensions described in this document do not provide any security services beyond those specified by EPP [RFC5730] and protocol layers used by EPP. The security considerations described in these other specifications apply to this specification as well.

7. Implementation Status

Note to RFC Editor: Please remove this section and the reference to [RFC7942] before publication.

This section records the status of known implementations of the protocol defined by this specification at the time of posting of this Internet-Draft, and is based on a proposal described in [RFC7942]. The description of implementations in this section is intended to assist the IETF in its decision processes in progressing drafts to RFCs. Please note that the listing of any individual implementation here does not imply endorsement by the IETF. Furthermore, no effort has been spent to verify the information presented here that was supplied by IETF contributors. This is not intended as, and must not be construed to be, a catalog of available implementations or their features. Readers are advised to note that other implementations may exist.
According to [RFC7942], "this will allow reviewers and working groups to assign due consideration to documents that have the benefit of running code, which may serve as evidence of valuable experimentation and feedback that have made the implemented protocols more mature. It is up to the individual working groups to use this information as they see fit".

Add implementation details once available.
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