Abstract

This document specifies the operation of IP over tunnel virtual links using Asymmetric Extended Route Optimization (AERO). Nodes attached to AERO links can exchange packets via trusted intermediate routers that provide forwarding services to reach off-link destinations and route optimization services for improved performance. AERO provides an IPv6 link-local address format that supports operation of the IPv6 Neighbor Discovery (ND) protocol and links ND to IP forwarding. Prefix delegation services are employed to manage the routing system. Dynamic link selection, mobility management, quality of service (QoS) signaling and route optimization are naturally supported through dynamic neighbor cache updates. AERO is a widely-applicable tunneling solution especially well-suited to aviation services, mobile Virtual Private Networks (VPNs) and other applications as described in this document.
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1. Introduction

This document specifies the operation of IP over tunnel virtual links using Asymmetric Extended Route Optimization (AERO). The AERO link can be used for tunneling between neighboring nodes over either IPv6 or IPv4 networks, i.e., AERO views the IPv6 and IPv4 networks as equivalent links for tunneling. Nodes attached to AERO links can exchange packets via trusted intermediate routers that provide forwarding services to reach off-link destinations and route optimization services for improved performance [RFC5522].

AERO provides an IPv6 link-local address format that supports operation of the IPv6 Neighbor Discovery (ND) [RFC4861] protocol and
links ND to IP forwarding. Dynamic link selection, mobility management, quality of service (QoS) signaling and route optimization are naturally supported through dynamic neighbor cache updates, while IPv6 Prefix Delegation (PD) is supported by network services such as the Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol for IPv6 (DHCPv6) [RFC8415].

A node’s AERO interface can be configured over multiple underlying interfaces. From the standpoint of ND, AERO interface neighbors therefore may appear to have multiple link-layer addresses (i.e., the IP addresses assigned to underlying interfaces). Each link-layer address is subject to change due to mobility and/or QoS fluctuations, and link-layer address changes are signaled by ND messaging the same as for any IPv6 link.

AERO is applicable to a wide variety of use cases. For example, it can be used to coordinate the Virtual Private Network (VPN) links of mobile nodes (e.g., cellphones, tablets, laptop computers, etc.) that connect into a home enterprise network via public access networks using services such as OpenVPN [OVPN]. AERO is also applicable to aviation services for both manned and unmanned aircraft where the aircraft is treated as a mobile node that can connect an Internet of Things (IoT). Other applicable use cases are also in scope.

The following numbered sections present the AERO specification. The appendices at the end of the document are non-normative.

2. Terminology

The terminology in the normative references applies; the following terms are defined within the scope of this document:

IPv6 Neighbor Discovery (ND)
an IPv6 control message service for coordinating neighbor relationships between nodes connected to a common link. The ND service used by AERO is specified in [RFC4861].

IPv6 Prefix Delegation (PD)
a networking service for delegating IPv6 prefixes to nodes on the link. The nominal PD service is DHCPv6 [RFC8415], however alternate services (e.g., based on ND messaging) are also in scope [I-D.templin-v6ops-pdhost][I-D.templin-6man-dhcpv6-ndopt].

(native) Internetwork
a connected IP network topology over which the AERO link virtual overlay is configured and native peer-to-peer communications are supported. Example Internetworks include the global public Internet, private enterprise networks, aviation networks, etc.
AERO link
a Non-Broadcast, Multiple Access (NBMA) tunnel virtual overlay configured over an underlying Internetwork. Nodes on the AERO link appear as single-hop neighbors from the perspective of the virtual overlay even though they may be separated by many underlying Internetwork hops. The AERO mechanisms can also operate over native link types (e.g., Ethernet, WiFi etc.) when tunneling is not needed.

AERO interface
a node’s attachment to an AERO link. Since the addresses assigned to an AERO interface are managed for uniqueness, AERO interfaces do not require Duplicate Address Detection (DAD) and therefore set the administrative variable ‘DupAddrDetectTransmits’ to zero [RFC4862].

AERO address
an IPv6 link-local address constructed as specified in Section 3.4.

AERO node
a node that is connected to an AERO link.

AERO Client ("Client")
a node that requests PDs from one or more AERO Servers. Following PD, the Client assigns a Client AERO address to the AERO interface for use in ND exchanges with other AERO nodes. A node that acts as an AERO Client on one AERO interface can also act as an AERO Server on a different AERO interface.

AERO Server ("Server")
a node that configures an AERO interface to provide default forwarding services and a Mobility Anchor Point (MAP) for AERO Clients. The Server assigns an administratively-provisioned AERO address to the AERO interface to support the operation of the ND/PD services. An AERO Server can also act as an AERO Relay.

AERO Relay ("Relay")
a node that provides both layer-3 routing and layer-2 bridging services (as well as a security trust anchor) for nodes on an AERO link. As a router, the Relay forwards data packets using standard IP forwarding. As a bridge, the Relay securely forwards control messages between Proxies and Servers both within the same partition and between disjoint partitions.

AERO Proxy ("Proxy")
a node that provides proxying services, e.g., when the Client is located in a secured internal enclave and the Server is located in
the external Internetwork. The AERO Proxy is a conduit between the secured enclave and the external Internetwork in the same manner as for common web proxies, and behaves in a similar fashion as for ND proxies [RFC4389].

ingress tunnel endpoint (ITE)
an AERO interface endpoint that injects encapsulated packets into an AERO link.

egress tunnel endpoint (ETE)
an AERO interface endpoint that receives encapsulated packets from an AERO link.

underlying network
the same as defined for Internetwork.

underlying link
a link that connects an AERO node to the underlying network.

underlying interface
an AERO node’s interface point of attachment to an underlying link.

link-layer address
an IP address assigned to an AERO node’s underlying interface. When UDP encapsulation is used, the UDP port number is also considered as part of the link-layer address. Packets transmitted over an AERO interface use link-layer addresses as encapsulation header source and destination addresses. Destination link-layer addresses can be either "reachable" or "unreachable" based on dynamically-changing network conditions.

network layer address
the source or destination address of an encapsulated IP packet.

end user network (EUN)
an internal virtual or external edge IP network that an AERO Client connects to the rest of the network via the AERO interface. The Client sees each EUN as a "downstream" network and sees the AERO interface as its point of attachment to the "upstream" network.

AERO Service Prefix (ASP)
an IP prefix associated with the AERO link and from which more-specific AERO Client Prefixes (ACPs) are derived. The term ASP is equivalent to "Mobility Service Prefix (MSP)" that appears in other contexts.
AERO Client Prefix (ACP)
an IP prefix derived from an ASP and delegated to a Client, where the ACP prefix length must be no shorter than the ASP prefix length. The term ACP is equivalent to "Mobile Network Prefix (MNP)" that appears in other contexts.

base AERO address
the lowest-numbered AERO address from the first ACP delegated to the Client (see Section 3.4).

secured enclave
a private access network (e.g., a corporate enterprise network, radio access network, cellular service provider network, etc.) with secured links and perimeters. Link-layer security services such as IEEE 802.1X and physical-layer security such as campus wired LANs prevent unauthorized access from within the enclave, while border network-layer security services such as firewalls and proxies prevent unauthorized access from the external Internetwork.

Mobility Anchor Point (MAP)
an AERO Server that is currently tracking and reporting the mobility events of its associated Clients.

MAP List
a geographically and/or topologically referenced list of IP addresses of Servers for the AERO link.

Distributed Mobility Management (DMM)
a BGP-based overlay routing service coordinated by Servers and Relays that tracks all MAP-to-Client associations.

Route Optimization Source (ROS)
the AERO node nearest the source Client that initiates route optimization. The ROS may be one of the Client’s Servers, Proxies or in some cases even the Client itself.

Route Optimization Responder (ROR)
a Server of the target Client to which a route optimization request is directed. The ROR (acting as a MAP) returns the most current information about the target Client’s underlying interface connections.

Spanning Partitioned AERO Networks (SPAN)
a means for bridging disjoint segments of a partitioned AERO link, i.e., the same as for a bridged campus LAN. The SPAN is an underlay encapsulation service in the AERO routing system, and provides a unified link view for all partitions.
SPAN Service Prefix (SSP)
a global or unique local /96 IPv6 prefix assigned to the AERO link
to support SPAN services.

SPAN Partition Prefix (SPP)
a sub-prefix of the SPAN Service Prefix uniquely assigned to a
single partition of the SPAN.

SPAN Address
a global or unique local IPv6 address taken from a SPAN Partition
Prefix.

Throughout the document, the simple terms "Client", "Server", "Relay"
and "Proxy" refer to "AERO Client", "AERO Server", "AERO Relay" and
"AERO Proxy", respectively. Capitalization is used to distinguish
these terms from DHCPv6 client/server/relay [RFC8415].

The terminology of DHCPv6 [RFC8415] and IPv6 ND [RFC4861] (including
the names of node variables, messages and protocol constants) is used
throughout this document. Also, the term "IP" is used to generically
refer to either Internet Protocol version, i.e., IPv4 [RFC0791] or
IPv6 [RFC8200].

The terms Mobility Anchor Point (MAP) and Distributed Mobility
Management (DMM) are used in the same sense as standard
Internetworking terminology.

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT",
"SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this
document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119]. Lower case
uses of these words are not to be interpreted as carrying RFC2119
significance.

3. Asymmetric Extended Route Optimization (AERO)

The following sections specify the operation of IP over Asymmetric
Extended Route Optimization (AERO) links:

3.1. AERO Link Reference Model
Figure 1 presents the AERO link reference model. In this model:

- **AERO Relay R1** aggregates AERO Service Prefix (ASP) A1, acts as a default router for its associated Servers (S1 and S2), and connects the AERO link to the rest of the Internetwork. AERO Relays also bridge disjoint segments of a partitioned AERO link.

- **AERO Servers S1 and S2** associate with Relay R1 and also act as Mobility Anchor Points (MAPs) and default routers for their associated Clients C1 and C2.

- **AERO Clients C1 and C2** associate with Servers S1 and S2, respectively. They receive AERO Client Prefix (ACP) delegations X1 and X2, and also act as default routers for their associated physical or internal virtual EUNs. Simple hosts H1 and H2 attach to the EUNs served by Clients C1 and C2, respectively.
AERO Proxy P1 provides proxy services for AERO Clients in secured enclaves that cannot associate directly with other AERO link neighbors.

Each node on the AERO link maintains an AERO interface neighbor cache and an IP forwarding table the same as for any link. Although the figure shows a limited deployment, in common operational practice there will normally be many additional Relays, Servers, Clients and Proxies.

### 3.2. AERO Node Types

AERO Relays provide both layer-3 routing and layer-2 bridging services (as well as a security trust anchor) for nodes on an AERO link. As a router, the Relay forwards data packets using standard IP forwarding. As a bridge, the Relay securely forwards control messages between Proxies and Servers both within the same partition and between disjoint partitions. Each Relay also peers with Servers and other Relays in a dynamic routing protocol instance to provide a Distributed Mobility Management (DMM) service for the list of active ACPs (see Section 3.3). Relays forward packets between neighbors connected to the same AERO link and also forward packets between the AERO link and the native Internetwork. Relays present the AERO link to the native Internetwork as a set of one or more AERO Service Prefixes (ASPs) and serve as a gateway between the AERO link and the Internetwork. Relays maintain neighbor cache entries for Servers and Proxies, and maintain an IP forwarding table entry for each AERO Client Prefix (ACP).

AERO Servers provide default forwarding services and a Mobility Anchor Point (MAP) for AERO Clients. Each Server also peers with Relays in a dynamic routing protocol instance to advertise its list of associated ACPs (see Section 3.3). Servers facilitate PD exchanges with Clients, where each delegated prefix becomes an ACP taken from an ASP. Servers forward packets between AERO interface neighbors, and maintain neighbor cache entries for Relays. They also maintain both neighbor cache entries and IP forwarding table entries for each of their associated Clients, and track each Client’s mobility profiles.

AERO Clients act as requesting routers to receive ACPs through PD exchanges with AERO Servers over the AERO link. Each Client can associate with a single Server or with multiple Servers, e.g., for fault tolerance, load balancing, etc. Each IPv6 Client receives at least a /64 IPv6 ACP, and may receive even shorter prefixes. Similarly, each IPv4 Client receives at least a /32 IPv4 ACP (i.e., a singleton IPv4 address), and may receive even shorter prefixes. Clients maintain an AERO interface neighbor cache entry for each of
their associated Servers as well as for each of their correspondent Clients.

AERO Proxies provide a conduit for AERO Clients in secured enclaves to associate with AERO Servers. The Client sends all of its control plane messages to the Server via the Proxy, which intercepts them before they leave the secured enclave. The Proxy forwards the Client’s control and data plane messages to and from the Client’s current Server(s). The Proxy may also discover a better route toward a target destination via AERO route optimization, in which case future outbound data packets would be forwarded via the more direct route. Proxies maintain AERO interface neighbor cache entries for Relays, i.e., the same as Servers. The Proxy function is specified in Section 3.16.

AERO Relays, Servers and Proxies are critical infrastructure elements in fixed (i.e., non-mobile) deployments. Relays, Servers and Proxies must use link-layer addresses that do not change and can be reached from any correspondent in the underlying Internetwork (i.e., in the same fashion as for popular Internet services). AERO Clients may be mobile, and may not have any public link-layer addresses, e.g., if they are located behind NATs or Proxies.

3.3. AERO Routing System

The AERO routing system comprises a private instance of the Border Gateway Protocol (BGP) [RFC4271] that is coordinated between Relays and Servers and does not interact with either the public Internet BGP routing system or the native Internetwork routing system. Relays advertise only a small and unchanging set of ASPs to the native Internetwork routing system instead of the full dynamically changing set of ACPs.

In a reference deployment, each Server is configured as an Autonomous System Border Router (ASBR) for a stub Autonomous System (AS) using an AS Number (ASN) that is unique within the BGP instance, and each Server further uses eBGP to peer with one or more Relays but does not peer with other Servers. Each segment of a multi-segment AERO link must include one or more Relays, which peer with the Servers and Proxies within that segment. All Relays within the same segment are members of the same hub AS using a common ASN, and use iBGP to maintain a consistent view of all active ACPs currently in service. The Relays of different segments peer with one another using eBGP.

Each Server maintains a working set of associated ACPs, and dynamically announces new ACPs and withdraws departed ACPs in its eBGP updates to Relays. Clients are expected to remain associated with their current Servers for extended timeframes, however Servers...
SHOULD selectively suppress updates for impatient Clients that repeatedly associate and disassociate with them in order to dampen routing churn.

Each Relay configures a black-hole route for each of its ASPs. By black-holing the ASPs, the Relay will maintain forwarding table entries only for the ACPs that are currently active, and packets destined to all other ACPs will correctly incur Destination Unreachable messages due to the black hole route. Relays do not send eBGP updates for ACPs to Servers, but instead only originate a default route. In this way, Servers have only partial topology knowledge (i.e., they know only about the ACPs of their directly associated Clients) and they forward all other packets to Relays which have full topology knowledge.

Scaling properties of the AERO routing system are limited by the number of BGP routes that can be carried by Relays. As of 2015, the global public Internet BGP routing system manages more than 500K routes with linear growth and no signs of router resource exhaustion [BGP]. More recent network emulation studies have also shown that a single Relay can accommodate at least 1M dynamically changing BGP routes even on a lightweight virtual machine, i.e., and without requiring high-end dedicated router hardware.

Therefore, assuming each Relay can carry 1M or more routes, this means that at least 1M Clients can be serviced by a single set of Relays. A means of increasing scaling would be to assign a different set of Relays for each set of ASPs. In that case, each Server still peers with one or more Relays, but the Server institutes route filters so that it only sends BGP updates to the specific set of Relays that aggregate the ASP. For example, if the ASP for the AERO link is 2001:db8::/32, a first set of Relays could service the ASP segment 2001:db8::/40, a second set of Relays could service 2001:db8:0100::/40, a third set could service 2001:db8:0200::/40, etc.

Assuming up to 1K sets of Relays, the AERO routing system can then accommodate 1B or more ACPs with no additional overhead for Servers and Relays (for example, it should be possible to service 1B /64 ACPs taken from a /34 ASP and even more for shorter prefixes). In this way, each set of Relays services a specific set of ASPs that they advertise to the native Internetwork routing system, and each Server configures ASP-specific routes that list the correct set of Relays as next hops. This arrangement also allows for natural incremental deployment, and can support small scale initial deployments followed by dynamic deployment of additional Clients, Servers and Relays without disturbing the already-deployed base.
In an alternate routing arrangement, each set of Relays could advertise an aggregated ASP for the link into the native Internetwork routing system even though each Relay services only smaller segments of the ASP. In that case, a Relay upon receiving a packet with a destination address covered by the ASP segment of another Relay can simply tunnel the packet to the other Relay. The tradeoff then is the penalty for Relay-to-Relay tunneling compared with reduced routing information in the native routing system.

A full discussion of the BGP-based routing system used by AERO is found in [I-D.ietf-rtgwg-atn-bgp]. The system provides for Distributed Mobility Management (DMM) per the distributed mobility anchoring architecture [I-D.ietf-dmm-distributed-mobility-anchoring].

3.4. AERO Addresses

A Client’s AERO address is an IPv6 link-local address with an interface identifier based on the Client’s delegated ACP. Relay, Server and Proxy AERO addresses are assigned from the range fe80::/96 and include an administratively-provisioned value in the lower 32 bits.

For IPv6, Client AERO addresses begin with the prefix fe80::/64 and include in the interface identifier (i.e., the lower 64 bits) a 64-bit prefix taken from one of the Client’s IPv6 ACPs. For example, if the AERO Client receives the IPv6 ACP:

```
2001:db8:1000:2000::/56
```

it constructs its corresponding AERO addresses as:

```
fe80::2001:db8:1000:2000
fe80::2001:db8:1000:2001
fe80::2001:db8:1000:2002
... etc. ...
fe80::2001:db8:1000:20ff
```

For IPv4, Client AERO addresses are based on an IPv4-mapped IPv6 address formed from an IPv4 ACP and with a Prefix Length of 96 plus the ACP prefix length. For example, for the IPv4 ACP 192.0.2.32/28 the IPv4-mapped IPv6 ACP is:

```
0:0:0:0:FFFF:192.0.2.16/124
```
The Client then constructs its AERO addresses with the prefix fe80::/64 and with the lower 64 bits of the IPv4-mapped IPv6 address in the interface identifier as:

fe80::FFFF:192.0.2.16
fe80::FFFF:192.0.2.17
fe80::FFFF:192.0.2.18
... etc. ...
fe80::FFFF:192.0.2.31

Relay, Server and Proxy AERO addresses are allocated from the range fe80::/96, and MUST be managed for uniqueness. The lower 32 bits of the AERO address includes a unique integer value (e.g., fe80::1, fe80::2, fe80::3, etc.) as assigned by the administrative authority for the link. If the link comprises multiple segments, the AERO addresses are assigned to each segment in correspondence with the SPAN addresses assigned to the segment (see: Section 3.5). The address fe80:: is reserved as the IPv6 link-local Subnet Router Anycast address [RFC4291], and the address fe80::ffff:ffff is reserved as the unspecified AERO address; hence, these values are not available general assignment.

When the Server delegates ACPs to the Client, the lowest-numbered AERO address from the first ACP delegation serves as the "base" AERO address (for example, for the ACP 2001:db8:1000:2000::/56 the base AERO address is fe80::2001:db8:1000:2000). The Client then assigns the base AERO address to the AERO interface and uses it for the purpose of maintaining the neighbor cache entry. The Server likewise uses the AERO address as its index into the neighbor cache for this Client.

If the Client has multiple AERO addresses (i.e., when there are multiple ACPs and/or ACPs with prefix lengths shorter than /64), the Client originates ND messages using the base AERO address as the source address and accepts and responds to ND messages destined to any of its AERO addresses as equivalent to the base AERO address. In this way, the Client maintains a single neighbor cache entry that may be indexed by multiple AERO addresses.

AERO addresses that embed an IPv6 prefix can be statelessly transformed into an IPv6 Subnet Router Anycast address and vice-versa. For example, for the AERO address fe80::2001:db8:2000:3000 the corresponding Subnet Router Anycast address is 2001:db8:2000:3000::: In the same way, for the IPv6 Subnet Router
Anycast address 2001:db8:1:2:: the corresponding AERO address is fe80::2001:db8:1:2. In other words, the low-order 64 bits of an AERO address can be used as the high-order 64 bits of a Subnet Router Anycast address, and vice-versa.

3.5. Spanning Partitioned AERO Networks (SPAN)

In the simplest case, an AERO link configured over a single administrative domain (e.g., an enterprise network) appears as a single unified link with a consistent underlying network addressing plan. In that case, all nodes on the link can exchange packets directly since the underlying network is connected.

In a more complex case, an AERO link may be partitioned into multiple "segments", where each segment is configured over a different administrative domain (e.g., as for regional aviation networks). In that case, the underlying network addressing plan of each segment is consistent internally but will often bear no relation to the addressing plans of other segments. Each segment is also likely to be separated from other segments by network security devices (e.g., firewalls, proxies, packet filtering gateways, etc.), and in many cases disjoint segments may not even have any common physical link connections at all. Therefore, the nodes within each segment can only be assured of exchanging packets directly with nodes in the same segment, and not with nodes in other segments. The only means for joining the segments therefore is through inter-domain peerings between segment border routers.

The same as for traditional campus LANs, multiple AERO link segments can be joined into a single unified link via bridging in an underlay network termed "The SPAN". The SPAN performs link-layer packet forwarding between segments so that nodes on segment A can exchange packets with nodes on segment B via bridging without decrementing the network-layer TTL/Hop Limit. To support the SPAN, AERO links require a reserved /96 IPv6 "SPAN Service Prefix (SSP)". Although any routable IPv6 prefix can be reserved, use of a Unique Local Address (ULA) prefix (e.g., fd00::/96) [RFC4389] is RECOMMENDED since packets with ULAs cannot be injected into the AERO link by an external IPv6 node and cannot leak out of the AERO link to the outside world.

Each partition in the SPAN assigns a unique sub-prefix of the SSP, i.e., a "SPAN Partition Prefix (SPP)". For example, a first partition could assign fd00::/116, a second partition could assign fd00::1000/116, a third could assign fd00::2000/116, etc. The administrative authorities for each partition must therefore coordinate to assure mutually-exclusive SPP assignments, but internal provisioning of the SPP is a local consideration for each administrative authority.
A "SPAN address" is an address taken from a SPP and assigned to a Relay, Server or Proxy AERO interface. SPAN addresses are formed by simply replacing the upper portion of an administratively-assigned AERO address with the SPP. For example, if the SPP is fd00::/116, the SPAN address formed from the AERO address fe80::1 is simply fd00::1. (As with AERO addresses, the values ::0 and ::ffff:ffff are reserved and not available for general assignment.)

AERO Relays serve as bridges to join multiple segments into a unified AERO link over multiple diverse administrative domains. They support the bridging function by first exchanging their SPPs via standard BGP routing. For example, if three Relays (Relays ‘A’, ‘B’ and ‘C’) from different administrative domains advertised the SPPs fd00::1000/116, fd00::2000/116 and fd00::3000/116 respectively, then the forwarding tables in each Relay are as follows:

A: fd00::1000/116->local, fd00::2000/116->B, fd00::3000/116->C

B: fd00::1000/116->A, fd00::2000/116->local, fd00::3000/116->C

C: fd00::1000/116->A, fd00::2000/116->B, fd00::3000/116->local

These forwarding table entries remain in place indefinitely and never change, since they correspond to fixed infrastructure elements in their respective partitions. This point is of critical importance, since it provides the basis for a link-layer forwarding service that cannot be disrupted by routing updates due to node mobility.

With the SPPs in place in each Relay’s forwarding table, control and data packets sent between AERO nodes in different partitions can therefore be carried over the SPAN via encapsulation. For example, when a node in partition A forwards a packet with IPv6 address 2001:db8:1:2::1 to a node in partition C with IPv6 address 2001:db8:1000:2000::1, it first encapsulates the packet in a SPAN header with source address from fd00::1000/116 (e.g., fd00::1001) and destination address from fd00::3000/116 (e.g., fd00::3001).

SPAN encapsulation is based on Generic Packet Tunneling in IPv6 [RFC2473]; the encapsulation format in this example is shown in Figure 2:
Figure 2: SPAN Encapsulation

In this format, the inner IP header and packet body are the original IP packet, the SPAN header is an IPv6 header prepared according to [RFC2473], and the outer header is added by the same node ('X') that added the SPAN header according to Section 3.10. The source and destination addresses of the outer header are the link-layer addresses of nodes 'X' and 'Y' (where 'Y' is a Relay connected to the SPAN).

An (inner) IP packet is said to be "sent into the SPAN" or "sent via the SPAN" when it is encapsulated as described above then forwarded to a neighboring Relay. This terminology appears throughout the remaining sections of the document.

This gives rise to a routing system that contains both ACP routes that may change dynamically due to regional node mobility and SPAN routes that never change. The Relays can therefore provide link-layer bridging by sending packets via the SPAN instead of network-layer routing according to ACP routes. As a result, opportunities for packet loss due to node mobility are mitigated.

3.6. AERO Interface Characteristics

AERO interfaces use encapsulation (see: Section 3.10) to exchange packets with neighbors attached to the AERO link.
AERO interfaces maintain a neighbor cache for tracking per-neighbor state the same as for any interface. AERO interfaces use ND messages including Router Solicitation (RS), Router Advertisement (RA), Neighbor Solicitation (NS) and Neighbor Advertisement (NA) for neighbor cache management.

AERO interface ND messages include one or more Source/Target Link-Layer Address Options (S/TLLAOs) formatted as shown in Figure 3:

```
+----------------------------------+-
| Type    | Length = 5 | Prefix Length | R | D | X | T | Resvd |
+----------------------------------+-
| Interface ID | Port Number |
+----------------------------------+-
|                               |     |
+----------------------------------+-
|                          Link Layer Address   |
+----------------------------------+-

Figure 3: AERO Source/Target Link-Layer Address Option (S/TLLAO) Format
```

In this format:

- Type is set to '1' for SLLAO or '2' for TLLAO.
- Length is set to the constant value '5' (i.e., 5 units of 8 octets).
- Prefix Length is set to the ACP prefix length in an ND message for the Client AERO address found in the source (RS), destination (RA) or target (NA) address; otherwise set to 0 if the message is not being used for PD or neighbor prefix discovery. If the message contains multiple SLLAOs, only the Prefix Length value in the

first SLLAO is consulted and the values in other SLLAOs are ignored.

- **R** (the "Release" bit) is set to '1' in the SLLAO of an RS message sent for the purpose of departing from a Server; otherwise, set to '0'. If the message contains multiple SLLAOs, only the R value in the first SLLAO is consulted and the values in other SLLAOs are ignored. The Server places the corresponding neighbor cache entry in the DEPARTED state and releases the corresponding PD, then returns an RA with Router Lifetime set to '0'.

- **D** (the "Disable" bit) is set to '1' in the S/TLLAOs of an RS/NA message for each Interface ID that is to be disabled in the neighbor cache entry; otherwise, set to '0'. If the message contains an S/TLLAO with Interface ID 255, the node places the corresponding neighbor cache entry in the DEPARTED state. If the message contains multiple S/TLLAOs the D value in each S/TLLAO is consulted.

- **X** (the "proXy" bit) is set to '1' in the SLLAO of an RS/RA message by the Proxy when there is a Proxy in the path; otherwise, set to '0'. If the message contains multiple SLLAOs, only the X value in the first SLLAO is consulted and the values in other SLLAOs are ignored.

- **T** (the "Translator" bit) is set to '1' in the SLLAO of an RA message by the Server if there is a link-layer address translator in the path; otherwise, set to '0'. If the message contains multiple SLLAOs, only the T value in the first SLLAO is consulted and the values in other SLLAOs are ignored.

- **Resvd** is set to the value '0' on transmission and ignored on receipt.

- **Interface ID** is set to a 16-bit integer value corresponding to an underlying interface of the AERO node. Once the node has assigned an Interface ID to an underlying interface, the assignment must remain unchanged until the node fully detaches from the AERO link. The value '255' is reserved as the AERO Server interface ID, i.e., Servers MUST use Interface ID '255', and Clients MUST number their Interface IDs with values in the range of 0-254.

- **Port Number** and **Link Layer Address** are set to the addresses used by the AERO node when it sends encapsulated packets over the specified underlying interface (or to '0' when the addresses are left unspecified). When UDP is not used as part of the encapsulation, Port Number is set to '0'. When the encapsulation
IP address family is IPv4, IP Address is formed as an IPv4-mapped IPv6 address as specified in Section 3.4.

- P(i) is a set of Preferences that correspond to the 64 Differentiated Service Code Point (DSCP) values [RFC2474]. Each P(i) is set to the value '0' ("disabled"), '1' ("low"), '2' ("medium") or '3' ("high") to indicate a QoS preference level for packet forwarding purposes.

AERO interfaces may be configured over multiple underlying interface connections to underlying links. For example, common mobile handheld devices have both wireless local area network ("WLAN") and cellular wireless links. These links are typically used "one at a time" with low-cost WLAN preferred and highly-available cellular wireless as a standby. In a more complex example, aircraft frequently have many wireless data link types (e.g. satellite-based, cellular, terrestrial, air-to-air directional, etc.) with diverse performance and cost properties.

A Client’s underlying interfaces are classified as follows:

- Native interfaces connect to the open Internetwork, and have a global IP address that is reachable from any open Internetwork correspondent.

- NATed interfaces connect to a private network behind a Network Address Translator (NAT). The NAT does not participate in any AERO control message signaling, but the AERO Server can issue control messages on behalf of the Client. Clients that are behind a NAT are required to send periodic keepalive messages to keep NAT state alive when there are no data packets flowing.

- VPNed interfaces use security encapsulation over the Internetwork to a Virtual Private Network (VPN) gateway that also acts as an AERO Server. As with NATed links, the AERO Server can issue control messages on behalf of the Client, but the Client need not send periodic keepalives in addition to those already used to maintain the VPN connection.

- Proxyed interfaces connect to a closed network that is separated from the open Internetwork by an AERO Proxy. Unlike NATed and VPNed interfaces, the AERO Proxy can also issue control messages on behalf of the Client.

- Direct interfaces connect the Client directly to a neighbor without crossing any networked paths. An example is a line-of-sight link between a remote pilot and an unmanned aircraft.
If a Client’s multiple underlying interfaces are used "one at a time" (i.e., all other interfaces are in standby mode while one interface is active), then ND messages include only a single S/TLLAO with Interface ID set to a constant value. In that case, the Client would appear to have a single underlying interface but with a dynamically changing link-layer address.

If the Client has multiple active underlying interfaces, then from the perspective of ND it would appear to have multiple link-layer addresses. In that case, ND messages MAY include multiple S/TLLAOS -- each with an Interface ID that corresponds to a specific underlying interface of the AERO node.

When the Client includes an S/TLLAO for an underlying interface for which it is aware that there is a Translator on the path to the Server, or when a node includes an S/TLLAO solely for the purpose of announcing new QoS preferences, the node MAY set both Port Number and Link-Layer Address to 0 to indicate that the addresses are unspecified at the network layer and must instead be derived from the link-layer encapsulation headers.

When an ND message includes multiple S/TLLAOS, the first S/TLLAO MUST correspond to the AERO node’s underlying interface used to transmit the message.

3.7. AERO Interface Initialization

3.7.1. AERO Relay Behavior

When a Relay enables an AERO interface, it first assigns an administratively-provisioned AERO address (e.g., fe80::1) and its companion SPAN address (e.g., fd00::1) to the interface, where each address MUST be unique among all AERO nodes on the link. The Relay also configures a neighbor cache entry for Servers and Proxys on the local segment. The Relay then engages in a BGP routing protocol session with Servers on the local segment and other Relays on the link (see: Section 3.3), and advertises its assigned ASPs into the native Internetwork. Each Relay subsequently maintains an IP forwarding table entry for each active ACP covered by its ASP(s) as well as for each SPAN prefix.

3.7.2. AERO Server Behavior

When a Server enables an AERO interface, it assigns AERO and SPAN addresses the same as for Relays. The Server further configures a service to facilitate ND/PD exchanges with AERO Clients. The Server maintains neighbor cache entries for one or more Relays on the link, and manages per-Client neighbor cache entries and IP forwarding table entries in a manner to be defined for that role.
entries based on control message exchanges. The Server also engages in a BGP routing protocol session with its neighboring Relays (see: Section 3.3).

When the Server receives an NS/RS message on the AERO interface it authenticates the message and returns a solicited NA/RA message. (When the Server receives an unsolicited NA message, it likewise authenticates the message and processes it locally.) The Server further provides a simple link-layer conduit between AERO interface neighbors. In particular, when a packet sent by a source Client arrives on the Server’s AERO interface and is destined to another AERO node, the Server forwards the packet from within the AERO interface at the link layer without ever disturbing the network layer.

3.7.3. AERO Proxy Behavior

When a Proxy enables an AERO interface, it assigns AERO and SPAN addresses the same as for Relays and Servers, and maintains neighbor cache entries for one or more Relays. The Proxy further maintains per-Client neighbor cache entries based on control message exchanges. Proxies forward packets between each Client and their associated Servers and neighbors.

When the Proxy receives an RS message from a Client in the secured enclave, it creates an incomplete neighbor cache entry and sends a proxied RS message to a Server via the SPAN while using its own link-layer address as the source address. When the Server returns an RA message, the Proxy completes the proxy neighbor cache entry based on autoconfiguration information in the RA and sends a proxied RA to the Client while using its own link-layer address as the source address. The Client, Server and Proxy will then have the necessary state for managing the proxy neighbor association.

3.7.4. AERO Client Behavior

When a Client enables an AERO interface, it sends RS messages with ND/PD parameters over an underlying interface to one or more AERO Servers, which return RA messages with corresponding PD parameters. (The RS/RA messages may pass through a Proxy on the path in the case of a Client’s Proxied interface.) See [I-D.templin-6man-dhcpv6-ndopt] for the types of ND/PD parameters that can be included in the RS/RA message exchanges.

After the initial ND/PD message exchange, the Client assigns AERO addresses to the AERO interface based on the delegated prefix(es). The Client can then register additional underlying interfaces with the Server by sending a simple RS message (i.e., one with no PD
parameters) over each underlying interface using its base AERO address as the source network layer address. The Server will update its neighbor cache entry for the Client and return a simple RA message.

The Client maintains a neighbor cache entry for each of its Servers and each of its active target Clients. When the Client receives ND messages on the AERO interface it updates or creates neighbor cache entries, including link-layer address and QoS preferences.

When there is a NAT on the path, the Client must send periodic messages to keep NAT state alive. If no other periodic messaging service is available, the Client can send RS messages to receive RA replies from its Server(s).

### 3.8. AERO Interface Neighbor Cache Maintenance

Each AERO interface maintains a conceptual neighbor cache that includes an entry for each neighbor it communicates with on the AERO link per [RFC4861]. AERO interface neighbor cache entries are said to be one of "permanent", "symmetric", "asymmetric" or "proxy".

Permanent neighbor cache entries are created through explicit administrative action; they have no timeout values and remain in place until explicitly deleted. AERO Relays maintain permanent neighbor cache entries for their associated Relays, Servers and Proxys, and AERO Servers and Proxys maintain permanent neighbor cache entries for their associated Relays. Each entry maintains the mapping between the neighbor’s network-layer AERO address and corresponding link-layer address.

Symmetric neighbor cache entries are created and maintained through ND/PD exchanges as specified in Section 3.15, and remain in place for durations bounded by ND/PD lifetimes. AERO Servers maintain symmetric neighbor cache entries for each of their associated Clients, and AERO Clients maintain symmetric neighbor cache entries for each of their associated Servers.

Asymmetric neighbor cache entries are created or updated based on route optimization messaging as specified in Section 3.17, and are garbage-collected when keepalive timers expire. AERO route optimization sources (ROSs) maintain asymmetric neighbor cache entries for each of their active target Clients with lifetimes based on ND messaging constants. Asymmetric neighbor cache entries are unidirectional since only the ROS (i.e., and not the route optimization responder (ROR)) creates an entry.
Proxy neighbor cache entries are created and maintained by AERO Proxies when they process Client/Server ND/PD exchanges, and remain in place for durations bounded by ND/PD lifetimes. AERO Proxies maintain proxy neighbor cache entries for each of their associated Clients. Proxy neighbor cache entries track the Client state and the state of each of the Client’s associated Servers.

To the list of neighbor cache entry states in Section 7.3.2 of [RFC4861], AERO interfaces add an additional state DEPARTED that applies to symmetric and proxy neighbor cache entries for Clients that have recently departed. The interface sets a "DepartTime" variable for the neighbor cache entry to "DEPARTTIME" seconds. DepartTime is decremented unless a new ND message causes the state to return to REACHABLE. While a neighbor cache entry is in the DEPARTED state, packets destined to the target Client are forwarded to the Client’s new location instead of being dropped. When DepartTime decrements to 0, the neighbor cache entry is deleted. It is RECOMMENDED that DEPARTTIME be set to the default constant value 40 seconds to allow for packets in flight to be delivered while stale route optimization state may be present.

When a target AERO Server (acting as a Mobility Anchor Point (MAP)) receives a valid NS message used for route optimization, it searches for a symmetric neighbor cache entry for the target Client. The Server then acts as an ROR and returns a solicited NA message without creating a neighbor cache entry for the ROS, but maintains a "Report List" for the Client’s symmetric neighbor cache entry. When the ROR receives an authentic NS message it adds a Report list entry for the ROS and sets a "ReportTime" variable for the entry to REPORTTIME seconds. The ROR resets ReportTime when it receives a new authentic NS message, and otherwise decrements ReportTime while no NS messages have been received. It is RECOMMENDED that REPORTTIME be set to the default constant value 40 seconds to allow a 10 second window so that route optimization can converge before ReportTime decrements below REACHABLETIME.

When the ROS receives a solicited NA message response to its NS message, it creates or updates an asymmetric neighbor cache entry for the target network-layer and link-layer addresses. The ROS then (re)sets ReachableTime for the neighbor cache entry to REACHABLETIME seconds and uses this value to determine whether packets can be forwarded directly to the target, i.e., instead of via a default route. The ROS otherwise decrements ReachableTime while no further solicited NA messages arrive. It is RECOMMENDED that REACHABLETIME be set to the default constant value 30 seconds as specified in [RFC4861].
The ROS also uses the value MAX_UNICAST_SOLICIT to limit the number of NS keepalives sent when a correspondent may have gone unreachable, the value MAX_RTR_SOLICITATIONS to limit the number of RS messages sent without receiving an RA and the value MAX_NEIGHBOR_ADVERTISEMENT to limit the number of unsolicited NAs that can be sent based on a single event. It is RECOMMENDED that MAX_UNICAST_SOLICIT, MAX_RTR_SOLICITATIONS and MAX_NEIGHBOR_ADVERTISEMENT be set to 3 the same as specified in [RFC4861].

Different values for DEPARTTIME, REPORTTIME, REACHABLETIME, MAX_UNICAST_SOLICIT, MAX_RTR_SOLCITATIONS and MAX_NEIGHBOR_ADVERTISEMENT MAY be administratively set; however, if different values are chosen, all nodes on the link MUST consistently configure the same values. Most importantly, DEPARTTIME and REPORTTIME SHOULD be set to a value that is sufficiently longer than REACHABLETIME to avoid packet loss due to stale route optimization state.

3.9. AERO Interface Forwarding Algorithm

IP packets enter a node’s AERO interface either from the network layer (i.e., from a local application or the IP forwarding system) or from the link layer (i.e., from the AERO tunnel virtual link). Packets that enter the AERO interface from the network layer are encapsulated and forwarded into the AERO link, i.e., they are tunneled to an AERO interface neighbor. Packets that enter the AERO interface from the link layer are either re-admitted into the AERO link or forwarded to the network layer where they are subject to either local delivery or IP forwarding. In all cases, the AERO interface itself MUST NOT decrement the network layer TTL/Hop-count since its forwarding actions occur below the network layer.

AERO interfaces may have multiple underlying interfaces and/or neighbor cache entries for neighbors with multiple Interface ID registrations (see Section 3.6). The AERO node uses each packet’s DSCP value (and/or port number) to select an outgoing underlying interface based on the node’s own QoS preferences, and also to select a destination link-layer address based on the neighbor’s underlying interface with the highest preference. AERO implementations SHOULD allow for QoS preference values to be modified at runtime through network management.

If multiple outgoing interfaces and/or neighbor interfaces have a preference of "high", the AERO node sends one copy of the packet via each of the (outgoing / neighbor) interface pairs; otherwise, the node sends a single copy of the packet via the interface with the highest preference. AERO nodes keep track of which underlying
interfaces are currently "reachable" or "unreachable", and only use "reachable" interfaces for forwarding purposes.

The following sections discuss the AERO interface forwarding algorithms for Clients, Proxies, Servers and Relays. In the following discussion, a packet’s destination address is said to "match" if it is a non-link-local address with a prefix covered by an ASP/ACP, or if it is an AERO address that embeds an ACP, or if it is the same as an administratively-provisioned AERO address.

3.9.1. Client Forwarding Algorithm

When an IP packet enters a Client’s AERO interface from the network layer the Client searches for an asymmetric neighbor cache entry that matches the destination. If there is a match, the Client uses one or more "reachable" link-layer addresses in the entry as the link-layer addresses for encapsulation and admits the packet into the AERO link (if the link-layer address is a SPAN address, the Client instead forwards the packet into the SPAN). If there is no asymmetric neighbor cache entry, the Client instead uses the link-layer address in a symmetric neighbor cache entry as the encapsulation address for interfaces other than Proxyed interfaces. For Proxyed interfaces, the Client simply forwards the unencapsulated packet to the first-hop access router.

When an IP packet enters a Client’s AERO interface from the link-layer, if the destination matches one of the Client’s ACPs or link-local addresses the Client decapsulates the packet and delivers it to the network layer. Otherwise, the Client drops the packet and MAY return a network-layer ICMP Destination Unreachable message subject to rate limiting (see: Section 3.14).

3.9.2. Proxy Forwarding Algorithm

When the Proxy receives a packet from a Client within the secured enclave, the Proxy searches for an asymmetric neighbor cache entry that matches the network-layer destination. If there is a match, the Proxy uses one or more "reachable" link-layer addresses in the entry as the destination link-layer addresses for encapsulation and admits the packet into the AERO link (if the link-layer address is a SPAN address, the Proxy instead forwards the packet into the SPAN). Otherwise, the Proxy uses the link-layer address for one of the Client’s Servers as the encapsulation address.

When the Proxy receives an encapsulated data packet from outside of the secured enclave, it searches for a proxy neighbor cache entry that matches the destination. If there is a proxy neighbor cache entry for the target Client, the Proxy forwards the packet according
to the cached link-layer address. If the proxy neighbor cache entry is in the DEPARTED state, the Proxy instead forwards the packet to the Client’s Server and may return an unsolicited NA message as discussed in Section 3.19. If there is no neighbor cache entry, the Proxy discards the packet.

3.9.3. Server Forwarding Algorithm

When an IP packet enters a Server’s AERO interface from the link-layer, it decapsulates the packet and processes it the same as if it entered from ethe network layer. The Server then processes the packet according to the network-layer destination address as follows:

- if the destination matches one of the Server’s own addresses the Server forwards it to the network layer for local delivery.
- else, if the destination matches a symmetric neighbor cache entry the Server first determines whether the packet originated from the same Client. If so, the Server drops the packet silently to avoid looping. Otherwise, the Server uses the neighboring Client’s link-layer address(es) as the destination for encapsulation, (re)encapsulates the packet the packet and forwards the packet to the Client. If the neighbor cache entry is in the DEPARTED state, the Server instead continues to forward packets to the Client’s new Server (either directly or via the SPAN according to the link-layer address) as discussed in Section 3.19.
- else, if the destination matches an asymmetric neighbor cache entry for a target Client, the Server forwards the packet according to the link-layer information in the asymmetric neighbor cache entry (either directly or via the SPAN according to the link-layer address).
- else, the Server uses the link-layer address in a permanent neighbor cache entry for a Relay (selected through longest-prefix match) as the link-layer address for encapsulation.

3.9.4. Relay Forwarding Algorithm

Relays forward packets the same as any IP router. When the Relay receives an encapsulated packet from a Server via the AERO link, it removes the encapsulation header and searches for a forwarding table entry that matches the network layer destination address. When the Relay receives an unencapsulated packet from a node outside the AERO link, it performs the same forwarding table lookup. The Relay then processes the packet as follows:
if the destination does not match an ASP or the SSP, or if the
destination matches one of the Relay’s own addresses, the Relay
submits the packet for either IP forwarding or local delivery.

else, if the destination matches an ASP/SSP entry in the IP
forwarding table the Relay first determines whether the neighbor
is the same as the one it received the packet from. If so the
Relay MUST drop the packet silently to avoid looping; otherwise,
the Relay encapsulates and forwards the packet using the
neighbor’s link-layer address as the destination for
encapsulation.

else, the Relay drops the packet and returns an ICMP Destination
Unreachable message subject to rate limiting (see: Section 3.14).

As for any IP router, the Relay decrements the TTL/Hop Count when it
forwards the packet.

3.10. AERO Interface Encapsulation and Re-encapsulation

AERO interfaces encapsulate IP packets according to whether they are
entering the AERO interface from the network layer or if they are
being re-admitted into the same AERO link they arrived on. This
latter form of encapsulation is known as "re-encapsulation".

The AERO interface encapsulates packets per the Generic UDP
Encapsulation (GUE) procedures in
[I-D.ietf-intarea-gue][I-D.ietf-intarea-gue-extensions], or through
an alternate encapsulation format (e.g., see: Appendix A, [RFC2784],
[RFC8086], [RFC4301], etc.). For packets entering the AERO interface
from the network layer, the AERO interface copies the "TTL/Hop
Limit", "Type of Service/Traffic Class" [RFC2983], "Flow
Label" [RFC6438] (for IPv6) and "Congestion Experienced" [RFC3168]
values in the packet’s IP header into the corresponding fields in the
encapsulation IP header. For packets undergoing re-encapsulation,
the AERO interface instead copies these values from the original
encapsulation IP header into the new encapsulation header, i.e., the
values are transferred between encapsulation headers and *not* copied
from the encapsulated packet’s network-layer header. (Note
especially that by copying the TTL/Hop Limit between encapsulation
headers the value will eventually decrement to 0 if there is a
(temporary) routing loop.) For IPv4 encapsulation/re-encapsulation,
the AERO interface sets the DF bit as discussed in Section 3.13.

When GUE encapsulation is used, the AERO interface next sets the UDP
source port to a constant value that it will use in each successive
packet it sends, and sets the UDP length field to the length of the
encapsulated packet plus 8 bytes for the UDP header itself plus the
length of the GUE header (or 0 if GUE direct IP encapsulation is used). For packets sent to a Server or Relay, the AERO interface sets the UDP destination port to 8060, i.e., the IANA-registered port number for AERO. For packets sent to a Client, the AERO interface sets the UDP destination port to the port value stored in the neighbor cache entry for this Client. The AERO interface then either includes or omits the UDP checksum according to the GUE specification.

When GUE encapsulation is not available, encapsulation between Servers and Relays can use standard mechanisms such as Generic Routing Encapsulation (GRE) [RFC2784], GRE-in-UDP [RFC8086] and IPSec [RFC4301] so that Relays can be standard IP routers with no AERO-specific mechanisms.

3.11. AERO Interface Decapsulation

AERO interfaces decapsulate packets destined either to the AERO node itself or to a destination reached via an interface other than the AERO interface the packet was received on. Decapsulation is per the procedures specified for the appropriate encapsulation format.

3.12. AERO Interface Data Origin Authentication

AERO nodes employ simple data origin authentication procedures for encapsulated packets they receive from other nodes on the AERO link. In particular:

- AERO Relays and Servers accept encapsulated packets with a link-layer source address that matches a permanent neighbor cache entry.

- AERO Servers accept authentic encapsulated ND messages from Clients (either directly or via a Proxy), and create or update a symmetric neighbor cache entry for the Client based on the specific message type.

- AERO Clients and Servers accept encapsulated packets if there is a symmetric neighbor cache entry with a link-layer address that matches the packet’s link-layer source address.

- AERO Proxies accept encapsulated packets if there is a proxy neighbor cache entry that matches the packet’s network-layer address.

Each packet should include a signature that the recipient can use to authenticate the message origin, e.g., as for common VPN systems such as OpenVPN [OVPN]. In some environments, however, it may be
sufficient to require signatures only for ND control plane messages (see: Section 10) and omit signatures for data plane messages.

3.13. AERO Interface Packet Size Issues

The AERO interface is the node’s attachment to the AERO link. The AERO interface acts as a tunnel ingress when it sends a packet to an AERO link neighbor and as a tunnel egress when it receives a packet from an AERO link neighbor. AERO interfaces observe the packet sizing considerations for tunnels discussed in [I-D.ietf-intarea-tunnels] and as specified below.

The Internet Protocol expects that IP packets will either be delivered to the destination or a suitable Packet Too Big (PTB) message returned to support the process known as IP Path MTU Discovery (PMTUD) [RFC1191][RFC8201]. However, PTB messages may be crafted for malicious purposes such as denial of service, or lost in the network [RFC2923]. This can be especially problematic for tunnels, where a condition known as a PMTUD "black hole" can result. For these reasons, AERO interfaces employ operational procedures that avoid interactions with PMTUD, including the use of fragmentation when necessary.

AERO interfaces observe two different types of fragmentation. Source fragmentation occurs when the AERO interface (acting as a tunnel ingress) fragments the encapsulated packet into multiple fragments before admitting each fragment into the tunnel. Network fragmentation occurs when an encapsulated packet admitted into the tunnel by the ingress is fragmented by an IPv4 router on the path to the egress. Note that an IPv4 packet that incurs source fragmentation may also incur network fragmentation.

IPv6 specifies a minimum link Maximum Transmission Unit (MTU) of 1280 bytes [RFC8200]. Although IPv4 specifies a smaller minimum link MTU of 68 bytes [RFC791], AERO interfaces also observe the IPv6 minimum for IPv4 even if encapsulated packets may incur network fragmentation.

IPv6 specifies a minimum Maximum Reassembly Unit (MRU) of 1500 bytes [RFC8200], while the minimum MRU for IPv4 is only 576 bytes [RFC1122] (but, note that many standard IPv6 over IPv4 tunnel types already assume a larger MRU than the IPv4 minimum).

AERO interfaces therefore configure an MTU that MUST NOT be smaller than 1280 bytes, MUST NOT be larger than the minimum MRU among all nodes on the AERO link minus the encapsulation overhead ("ENCAPS"), and SHOULD NOT be smaller than 1500 bytes. AERO interfaces also configure a Maximum Segment Unit (MSU) as the maximum-sized...
encapsulated packet that the ingress can inject into the tunnel without source fragmentation. The MSU value MUST NOT be larger than (MTU+ENCAPS) and MUST NOT be larger than 1280 bytes unless there is operational assurance that a larger size can traverse the link along all paths.

All AERO nodes MUST configure the same MTU value for reasons cited in [RFC3819][RFC4861]; in particular, multicast support requires a common MTU value among all nodes on the link. All AERO nodes MUST configure an MRU large enough to reassemble packets up to (MTU+ENCAPS) bytes in length; nodes that cannot configure a large-enough MRU MUST NOT enable an AERO interface.

The network layer proceeds as follow when it presents an IP packet to the AERO interface. For each IPv4 packet that is larger than the AERO interface MTU and with the DF bit set to 0, the network layer uses IPv4 fragmentation to break the packet into a minimum number of non-overlapping fragments where the first fragment is no larger than the MTU and the remaining fragments are no larger than the first. For all other IP packets, if the packet is larger than the AERO interface MTU, the network layer drops the packet and returns a PTB message to the original source. Otherwise, the network layer admits each IP packet or fragment into the AERO interface.

For each IP packet admitted into the AERO interface, the interface (acting as a tunnel ingress) encapsulates the packet. If the encapsulated packet is larger than the AERO interface MSU the ingress source-fragments the encapsulated packet into a minimum number of non-overlapping fragments where the first fragment is no larger than the MSU and the remaining fragments are no larger than the first. The ingress then admits each encapsulated packet or fragment into the tunnel, and for IPv4 sets the DF bit to 0 in the IP encapsulation header in case any network fragmentation is necessary. The encapsulated packets will be delivered to the egress, which reassembles them into a whole packet if necessary.

Several factors must be considered when fragmentation is needed. For AERO links over IPv4, the IP ID field is only 16 bits in length, meaning that fragmentation at high data rates could result in data corruption due to reassembly misassociations [RFC6864][RFC4963]. In environments where IP fragmentation issues could result in operational problems, the ingress SHOULD employ intermediate-layer source fragmentation (see: [RFC2764] and [I-D.ietf-intarea-gue-extensions]) before appending the outer encapsulation headers to each fragment. Since the encapsulation fragment header reduces the room available for packet data, but the original source has no way to control its insertion, the ingress MUST...
include the fragment header length in the ENCAPS length even for packets in which the header is absent.

3.14.  AERO Interface Error Handling

When an AERO node admits encapsulated packets into the AERO interface, it may receive link-layer or network-layer error indications.

A link-layer error indication is an ICMP error message generated by a router in the underlying network on the path to the neighbor or by the neighbor itself. The message includes an IP header with the address of the node that generated the error as the source address and with the link-layer address of the AERO node as the destination address.

The IP header is followed by an ICMP header that includes an error Type, Code and Checksum. Valid type values include "Destination Unreachable", "Time Exceeded" and "Parameter Problem" [RFC0792][RFC4443]. (AERO interfaces ignore all link-layer IPv4 "Fragmentation Needed" and IPv6 "Packet Too Big" messages since they only emit packets that are guaranteed to be no larger than the IP minimum link MTU as discussed in Section 3.13.)

The ICMP header is followed by the leading portion of the packet that generated the error, also known as the "packet-in-error". For ICMPv6, [RFC4443] specifies that the packet-in-error includes: "As much of invoking packet as possible without the ICMPv6 packet exceeding the minimum IPv6 MTU" (i.e., no more than 1280 bytes). For ICMPv4, [RFC0792] specifies that the packet-in-error includes: "Internet Header + 64 bits of Original Data Datagram", however [RFC1812] Section 4.3.2.3 updates this specification by stating: "the ICMP datagram SHOULD contain as much of the original datagram as possible without the length of the ICMP datagram exceeding 576 bytes".

The link-layer error message format is shown in Figure 4 (where, "L2" and "L3" refer to link-layer and network-layer, respectively):
The AERO node rules for processing these link-layer error messages are as follows:

- When an AERO node receives a link-layer Parameter Problem message, it processes the message the same as described as for ordinary ICMP errors in the normative references [RFC0792][RFC4443].

- When an AERO node receives persistent link-layer Time Exceeded messages, the IP ID field may be wrapping before earlier fragments awaiting reassembly have been processed. In that case, the node SHOULD begin including integrity checks and/or institute rate limits for subsequent packets.

- When an AERO node receives persistent link-layer Destination Unreachable messages in response to encapsulated packets that it sends to one of its asymmetric neighbor correspondents, the node SHOULD process the message as an indication that a path may be failing, and MAY initiate NUD over that path. If it receives Destination Unreachable messages on many or all paths, the node SHOULD set ReachableTime for the corresponding asymmetric neighbor.
cache entry to 0 and allow future packets destined to the correspondent to flow through a default route.

- When an AERO Client receives persistent link-layer Destination Unreachable messages in response to encapsulated packets that it sends to one of its symmetric neighbor Servers, the Client SHOULD mark the path as unusable and use another path. If it receives Destination Unreachable messages on many or all paths, the Client SHOULD associate with a new Server and release its association with the old Server as specified in Section 3.19.7.

- When an AERO Server receives persistent link-layer Destination Unreachable messages in response to encapsulated packets that it sends to one of its symmetric neighbor Clients, the Server SHOULD mark the underlying path as unusable and use another underlying path. If it receives Destination Unreachable messages on multiple paths, the Server should take no further actions unless it receives an explicit ND/PD release message or if the PD lifetime expires. In that case, the Server MUST release the Client’s delegated ACP, withdraw the ACP from the AERO routing system and delete the neighbor cache entry.

- When an AERO Relay or Server receives link-layer Destination Unreachable messages in response to an encapsulated packet that it sends to one of its permanent neighbors, it treats the messages as an indication that the path to the neighbor may be failing. However, the dynamic routing protocol should soon reconverge and correct the temporary outage.

When an AERO Relay receives a packet for which the network-layer destination address is covered by an ASP, if there is no more-specific routing information for the destination the Relay drops the packet and returns a network-layer Destination Unreachable message subject to rate limiting. The Relay writes the network-layer source address of the original packet as the destination address and uses one of its non link-local addresses as the source address of the message.

When an AERO node receives an encapsulated packet for which the reassembly buffer it too small, it drops the packet and returns a network-layer Packet Too Big (PTB) message. The node first writes the MRU value into the PTB message MTU field, writes the network-layer source address of the original packet as the destination address and writes one of its non link-local addresses as the source address.
3.15. AERO Router Discovery, Prefix Delegation and Autoconfiguration

AERO Router Discovery, Prefix Delegation and Autoconfiguration are coordinated as discussed in the following Sections.

3.15.1. AERO ND/PD Service Model

Each AERO Server configures a PD service to facilitate Client requests. Each Server is provisioned with a database of ACP-to-Client ID mappings for all Clients enrolled in the AERO system, as well as any information necessary to authenticate each Client. The Client database is maintained by a central administrative authority for the AERO link and securely distributed to all Servers, e.g., via the Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP) [RFC4511], via static configuration, etc. Therefore, no Server-to-Server PD state synchronization is necessary, and Clients can optionally hold separate PDs for the same ACPs from multiple Servers. In this way, Clients can associate with multiple Servers, and can receive new PDs from new Servers before releasing PDs received from existing Servers. This provides the Client with a natural fault-tolerance and/or load balancing profile.

AERO Clients and Servers use ND messages to maintain neighbor cache entries. AERO Servers configure their AERO interfaces as advertising interfaces, and therefore send unicast RA messages with configuration information in response to a Client’s RS message. Thereafter, Clients send additional RS messages to the Server’s unicast address to refresh prefix and/or router lifetimes.

AERO Clients and Servers include PD parameters in RS/RA messages to be used for Prefix Delegation (see [I-D.templin-6man-dhcpv6-ndopt] for ND/PD alternatives). The unified ND/PD messages are exchanged between Client and Server according to the prefix management schedule required by the PD service. If the Client knows its ACP in advance, it can include its AERO address as the source address of an RS message and with an SLLAO with a valid Prefix Length for the ACP. If the Server (and Proxy) accept the Client’s ACP assertion, they inject the prefix into the routing system and establish the necessary neighbor cache state. If the Client does not know its ACP in advance, or if it wishes to engage in an explicit PD exchange, it can include ND/PD parameters for an ancillary service such as DHCPv6.

On some AERO links, PD arrangements may be through some out-of-band service such as network management, static configuration, etc. In those cases, AERO nodes can use simple RS/RA message exchanges with no PD options. In other cases, the RS/RA messages can use AERO addresses as a means of representing the delegated prefixes, e.g., if a message includes a source address of "fe80::2001:db8:1:2" then the
recipient can infer that the sender holds the prefix delegation "2001:db8:1:2::/N" (where 'N' is the Prefix Length included in the first SLLAO in the message).

The following sections specify the Client and Server behavior.

3.15.2. AERO Client Behavior

AERO Clients can discover the link-layer and AERO addresses of AERO Servers in the MAP list via static configuration (e.g., from a flat-file map of Server addresses and locations), or through an automated means such as Domain Name System (DNS) name resolution [RFC1035]. In the absence of other information, the Client resolves the DNS Fully-Qualified Domain Name (FQDN) "linkupnetworks.[domainname]" where "linkupnetworks" is a constant text string and "[domainname]" is a DNS suffix for the Client’s underlying interface (e.g., "example.com"). After discovering the link-layer addresses, the Client associates with one or more of the corresponding Servers.

To associate with a Server, the Client acts as a requesting router to request ACPs. The Client prepares an RS message with PD parameters (e.g., with an SLLAO with non-zero Prefix Length), the address of the Client’s underlying interface as the link-layer source address and the link-layer address of the Server as the link-layer destination address. If the Client already knows the Server’s AERO address, it includes the AERO address as the network-layer destination address; otherwise, it includes all-routers multicast (ff02::2) as the network-layer destination address. If the Client already knows its own AERO address, it uses the AERO address as the network-layer source address; otherwise, it uses the unspecified AERO address (fe80::ffff:ffff) as the network-layer source address.

The Client next includes an SLLAO in the RS message formatted as described in Section 3.6 to register its link-layer address with the Server. The first SLLAO MUST correspond to the underlying interface over which the Client will send the RS message. The Client MAY include additional SLLAOs specific to other underlying interfaces, but if so it sets their Port Number and Link Layer Address fields to 0.

The Client then sends the RS message (either via a VPN for VPNed interfaces, via a Proxy for proxied interfaces or via the SPAN for native interfaces) and waits for an RA message reply (see Section 3.15.3) while retrying up to MAX_RTR_SOLICITATIONS times until an RA is received. If the Client receives no RAs, or if it receives an RA with Router Lifetime set to 0, the Client SHOULD abandon this Server and try another Server. Otherwise, the Client processes the PD information found in the RA message.
Next, the Client creates a symmetric neighbor cache entry with the Server’s AERO address as the network-layer address and the address in the first SLLAO as the link-layer address. The Client records the RA Router Lifetime field value in the cache entry as the time for which the Server has committed to maintaining the ACP in the routing system. The Client then autoconfigures AERO addresses for each of the delegated ACPs and assigns them to the AERO interface. The Client also caches any ASPs included in Route Information Options (RIOs) [RFC4191] as ASPs to associate with the AERO link, and assigns the MTU value in the MTU option to its AERO interface while configuring an appropriate MRU. This configuration information applies to the AERO link as a whole, and all AERO nodes will receive the same values.

The Client then registers additional link-layer addresses with the Server by sending additional RS messages including SLLAOs via other underlying interfaces after the initial RS/RA exchange. The Client sends the RS messages to the Server’s AERO address (discovered in the initial RS/RA exchange), but omits PD parameters since the initial RS/RA exchange has already established PD state.

The Client examines the X and N bits in the first SLLAO of each RA message it receives. If the X bit value is ‘1’ the Client infers that there is a Proxy on the path via the interface over which it sent the RS message, and if the N bit value is ‘1’ the Client infers that there is a NAT on the path. If N is ‘1’, the Client SHOULD set Port Number and Link-Layer Address to 0 in the first S/TLLAO of any subsequent ND messages it sends to the Server over that link.

Following autoconfiguration, the Client sub-delegates the ACPs to its attached EUNs and/or the Client’s own internal virtual interfaces as described in [I-D.templin-v6ops-pdhost] to support the Client’s downstream attached "Internet of Things (IoT)". The Client subsequently maintains its ACP delegations through each of its Servers by sending additional RS messages with PD parameters before Router Lifetime expires.

After the Client registers its Interface IDs and their associated port numbers, link-layer addresses and ‘P(i)’ values, it may wish to change one or more Interface ID registrations, e.g., if an underlying interface changes address or becomes unavailable, if QoS preferences change, etc. To do so, the Client prepares an RS message to send over any available underlying interface. The RS MUST include an SLLAO specific to the selected available underlying interface as the first SLLAO and MAY include any additional SLLAOs specific to other underlying interfaces. The Client includes fresh ‘P(i)’ values in each SLLAO to update the Server’s neighbor cache entry. If the Client wishes to update ‘P(i)’ values without updating the link-layer
If the Client wishes to disable the underlying interface, it sets the ‘D’ bit to 1. When the Client receives the Server’s RA response, it has assurance that the Server has been updated with the new information.

If the Client wishes to associate with multiple Servers, it repeats the same procedures above for each additional Server. If the Client wishes to discontinue use of a Server it issues an RS message over any underlying interface with the ‘R’ bit set to 1 in the first SLLAO. When the Server processes the message, it releases the ACP, sets the symmetric neighbor cache entry state for the Client to DEPARTED, withdraws the IP route from the routing system and returns an RA reply with Router Lifetime set to 0.

3.15.3. AERO Server Behavior

AERO Servers act as IPv6 routers and support a PD service for Clients. AERO Servers arrange to add their link-layer and AERO address to a static map of Server addresses for the link and/or the DNS resource records for the FQDN "linkupnetworks.[domainname]" before entering service. The list of Server addresses should be geographically and/or topologically referenced, and forms the MAP list for the AERO link.

When an AERO Server receives a prospective Client’s RS message with PD parameters on its AERO interface, it SHOULD return an immediate RA reply with Router Lifetime set to 0 if it is currently too busy or otherwise unable to service the Client. Otherwise, the Server authenticates the RS message and processes the PD parameters. The Server first determines the correct ACPs to delegate to the Client by searching the Client database. When the Server delegates the ACPs, it also creates an IP forwarding table entry for each ACP so that the AERO BGP-based routing system will propagate the ACPs to the Relays that aggregate the corresponding ASP (see: Section 3.3).

The Server next creates a symmetric neighbor cache entry for the Client using the base AERO address as the network-layer address and with lifetime set to no more than the smallest PD lifetime. Next, the Server updates the neighbor cache entry link-layer address(es) by recording the information in each SLLAO in the RS indexed by the Interface ID and including the Port Number, Link Layer Address and P(i) values. For the first SLLAO in the list, however, the Server records the actual encapsulation source addresses instead of those that appear in the SLLAO in case there was a NAT in the path. The Server also records the value of the X bit to indicate whether there is a Proxy on the path.
Next, the Server prepares an RA message that includes the delegated ACPs, any other PD parameters and an SLLAO with the Server’s link-layer address and with Interface ID set to 255. The Server uses its AERO address as the network-layer source address, the network-layer source address of the RS message as the network-layer destination address, the Server’s link-layer address as the source link-layer address, and the source link-layer address of the RS message as the destination link-layer address. The Server next sets the N flag to 1 if the source link-layer address in the RS message was different than the address in the first SLLAO to indicate that there is a NAT on the path. The Server then includes one or more RIOs that encode the ASPs for the AERO link. The Server also includes an MTU option for the MTU for the link (see Section 3.13). The Server finally sends the RA message to the Client via the SPAN.

After the initial RS/RA exchange, the AERO Server maintains the symmetric neighbor cache entry for the Client. If the Client (or Proxy) issues additional NS/RS messages, the Server resets ReachableTime. If the Client (or Proxy) issues an RS with PD release parameters (e.g., by including an SLLAO with R set to 1), or if the Client becomes unreachable, the Server sets the Client’s symmetric neighbor cache entry to the DEPARTED state and withdraws the IP routes from the AERO routing system.

The Server processes these and any other Client ND/PD messages, and returns an NA/RA reply. The Server may also issue an unsolicited RA message with PD reconfigure parameters to cause the Client to renegotiate its PDs, and may issue an unsolicited RA message with Router Lifetime set to 0 if it can no longer service this Client. Finally, If the symmetric neighbor cache entry is in the DEPARTED state, the Server deletes the entry after DepartTime expires.

3.15.3.1. Lightweight DHCPv6 Relay Agent (LDRA)

When DHCPv6 is used as the ND/PD service back end, AERO Clients and Servers are always on the same link (i.e., the AERO link) from the perspective of DHCPv6. However, in some implementations the DHCPv6 server and ND function may be located in separate modules. In that case, the Server’s AERO interface module can act as a Lightweight DHCPv6 Relay Agent (LDRA) [RFC6221] to relay PD messages to and from the DHCPv6 server module.

When the LDRA receives an authentic RS message, it extracts the PD message parameters and uses them to construct an IPv6/UDP/DHCPv6 message. It sets the IPv6 source address to the source address of the RS message, sets the IPv6 destination address to ‘All_DHCP_Relay_Agents_and_Servers’ and sets the UDP fields to values that will be understood by the DHCPv6 server.
The LDRA then wraps the message in a DHCPv6 ‘Relay-Forward’ message header and includes an ‘Interface-Id’ option that includes enough information to allow the LDRA to forward the resulting Reply message back to the Client (e.g., the Client’s link-layer addresses, a security association identifier, etc.). The LDRA also wraps the information in all of the SLLAOs from the RS message into the Interface-Id option, then forwards the message to the DHCPv6 server.

When the DHCPv6 server prepares a Reply message, it wraps the message in a ‘Relay-Reply’ message and echoes the Interface-Id option. The DHCPv6 server then delivers the Relay-Reply message to the LDRA, which discards the Relay-Reply wrapper and IPv6/UDP headers, then uses the DHCPv6 message to construct an RA response to the Client. The Server uses the information in the Interface-Id option to prepare the RA message and to cache the link-layer addresses taken from the SLLAOs echoed in the Interface-Id option.

3.16. The AERO Proxy

In some environments, Clients may be located in secured enclaves that do not allow direct communications from the Client to a Server in the outside Internetwork. In that case, the secured enclave can employ an AERO Proxy.

The Proxy is located at the secured enclave perimeter and listens for encapsulated RS messages originating from or RA messages destined to Clients located within the enclave. The Proxy acts on these control messages as follows:

- when the Proxy receives an RS message from a new Client within the secured enclave, it first authenticates the message then examines the RS message network-layer destination address. If the destination address is a Server’s AERO address, the Proxy proceeds to the next step. Otherwise, if the destination is all-routers multicast the Proxy selects a "nearby" Server that is likely to be a good candidate to serve the Client and replaces the RS destination address with the AERO address of the Server. (Otherwise, the Proxy discards the RS.) Next, the Proxy creates a proxy neighbor cache entry and caches the Client and Server addresses along with any identifying information including Transaction IDs, Client Identifiers, Nonce values, etc. The Proxy then examines the address in the first SLLAO of the RS message. If the address is different than the Client link-layer address, the Proxy notes that the Client is behind a NAT. The Proxy then re-encapsulates the RS message using its own external address as the source link-layer address, sets the X flag in the first SLLAO to ‘1’, changes the address in the first SLLAO to its own external address, and forwards the message to the Server via the SPAN.
- When the Server receives the RS message, it authenticates the message then creates or updates a symmetric neighbor cache entry for the Client with the Proxy’s address as the link-layer address. The Server then sends an RA message back to the Proxy via the SPAN.

- When the Proxy receives the RA message, it matches the message with the RS that created the proxy neighbor cache entry. The Proxy then caches the route information in the message as a mapping from the Client’s ACPs to the Client’s address within the secured enclave, and sets the neighbor cache entry state to REACHABLE. The Proxy then re-encapsulates the RA message using its own internal address as the source link-layer address, sets the X flag in the first SLLAO to ‘1’, sets the N flag in the first SLLAO to ‘1’ if the Client is behind a NAT, and forwards the message to the Client.

After the initial RS/RA exchange, the Proxy forwards any Client data packets for which there is no matching asymmetric neighbor cache entry to the "eldest" of the Client’s Servers, i.e., the first among possibly multiple Servers selected by the Client. If the eldest Server becomes unreachable, the Proxy sends future data packets via the next-eldest Server, etc. Finally, the Proxy forwards any Client data destined to an asymmetric neighbor cache target directly to the target according to the link-layer information – the process of establishing asymmetric neighbor cache entries is specified in Section 3.17.

While the Client is still active, the Proxy continues to send NS/RS messages to update each Server’s symmetric neighbor cache entries on behalf of the Client and/or to convey QoS updates. If the Server ceases to send solicited NA/RA responses, the Proxy marks the Server as unreachable and sends an unsolicited RA to the Client with Router Lifetime set to zero so that the Client knows that this Server is no longer able to provide Service. If the Client becomes unreachable, the Proxy sets the neighbor cache entry state to DEPARTED and sends an RS message to each Server with an SLLAO with the ‘D’ bit set to 1 and with Interface ID set to the Client’s interface ID so that the Server will de-register this Interface ID. Although the Proxy engages in these ND exchanges on behalf of the Client, the Client can also send ND messages on its own behalf, e.g., if it is in a better position than the Proxy to convey QoS changes, etc.

In some subnetworks that employ a Proxy, the Client’s ACP can be injected into the underlying network routing system. In that case, the Client can send data messages without encapsulation so that the native underlying network routing system transports the unencapsulated packets to the Proxy. This can be very beneficial,
e.g., if the Client connects to the network via low-end data links such as some aviation wireless links. In that case, however, the Client’s control messages are still sent encapsulated so as to supply the Proxy with the address of the Server and to transport IPv6 ND messages without decrementing the hop-count. In summary, the interface becomes one where control messages are encapsulated while data messages are either unencapsulated or encapsulated according to the specific use case. This encapsulation avoidance represents a form of “header compression”, meaning that the MTU should be sized based on the size of full encapsulated messages even if most messages are sent unencapsulated.

3.16.1. The AERO-Aware Access Router

If the Client is aware that its data link interface connects to a secured enclave with an AERO-aware Access Router as the first-hop router, it can avoid encapsulation for its control messages as well as its data messages. When the Client comes onto the link, it can send an unencapsulated RS message with source address set to its AERO address and with destination address set to the AERO address of the Client’s selected Server or to all-routers multicast.

The Client includes an SLLAO with Interface ID, Prefix Length and P(i) information but with Port Number and Link-Layer Address set to 0. The Client then sends the unencapsulated RS message, which will be intercepted by the on-link AERO-Aware Access Router. The Access Router then encapsulates the RS message in an outer header with its own address as the source address and the address of a Proxy as the destination address. The Access Router further remembers the address of the Proxy so that it can encapsulate future data packets from the Client via the same Proxy. If the Access Router needs to change to a new Proxy, it simply sends another RS message toward the Server via the new Proxy on behalf of the Client.

In this arrangement, the only control messages that would ever be sent by the Client are unencapsulated RS messages with its AERO address as the source address and the AERO address of the Server as the destination address. The Client will also receive unencapsulated RA messages from the Server via both the Proxy and Access Router.

In some cases, the Access Router and AERO Proxy may be one and the same node. In that case, the node would be located on the same physical link as the Client, but its messages exchanges with the Server would need to pass through a security gateway at the secured enclave ingress/egress. The method for deploying Access Routers and Proxys (i.e. as a single node or multiple nodes) is a subnetwork-local administrative consideration.
3.17. AERO Route Optimization

While data packets are flowing from a source Client to a target Client that are both holders of ACPs belonging to the same ASP, route optimization SHOULD be used to establish the best path(s). Route optimization is initiated by the first eligible Route Optimization Source (ROS) closest to the source Client as follows:

- For VPNed, NATed and Direct underlying interfaces, the Server is the ROS.
- For Proxyed underlying interfaces, the Proxy is the ROS.
- For native underlying interfaces, the Client itself is the ROS.

The route optimization procedure is conducted between the ROS and a Route Optimization Responder (ROR) in the same manner as for IPv6 ND Address Resolution, and using the same NS/NA messaging. The procedures are specified in the following sections.

3.17.1. Route Optimization Initiation

While the data packets are flowing from the source Client toward a target Client, the ROS also sends an NS message to receive a solicited NA message from an ROR acting as a Mobility Anchor Point (MAP).

When the ROS sends an NS, it includes the AERO address of the ROS as the source address and the AERO address corresponding to the data packet’s destination address as the destination address (for example, if the destination address is 2001:db8:1:2::1 then the target AERO address is fe80::2001:db8:1:2). The NS message includes no SLLAOs, but SHOULD include a Timestamp and Nonce option.

The ROS then sends the message into the SPAN (but with SPAN destination set to the inner packet destination) without decrementing the network-layer TTL/Hop Limit field.

3.17.2. Relaying the NS

When the Relay receives the (double-encapsulated) NS message from the ROS, it discards the outer IP header and determines that the ROR is the next hop by consulting its standard IP forwarding table for the SPAN header destination address. The Relay then forwards the SPAN message toward the ROR the same as for any IP router. The final-hop Relay in the SPAN will encapsulate the message in an outer IP header when it delivers the message to the ROR.
3.17.3. Processing the NS and Sending the NA

When the ROR receives the (double-encapsulated) NS message, it discards the outer IP and SPAN headers. The ROR next examines the AERO destination address to determine whether the target Client is one of its symmetric neighbors in the REACHABLE state. If so, the ROR adds the AERO source address to the target Client’s symmetric neighbor cache entry Report list with time set to ReportTime.

Next, the ROR prepares a solicited NA message to send back to the ROS but does not create a neighbor cache entry. The ROR sets the NA source address to its own AERO address and sets the destination address to the AERO address of the ROS. The NA message includes the Nonce value received in the NS, the current Timestamp, and a first TLLAO with Interface ID set to 255, with all P(i) values set to "low" and with "Prefix Length" set to the prefix length of the target Client’s ACP. If the ROR and ROS are on the same segment, the ROR sets the TLLAO Link Layer address to the ROR’s own link-layer address; otherwise, set to the ROR’s SPAN address.

If the ROS and ROR are on the same segment, the ROR next includes additional TLLAOs for all of the target Client’s Interface IDs. For NATed, VPNed and Direct interfaces, the TLLAO addresses are the address of the ROR. For Proxyed interfaces, the TLLAO addresses are the addresses of the target Client’s Proxies, and for native interfaces the TLLAO addresses are the addresses of the target Client.

The ROR then sends the message into the SPAN without decrementing the network-layer TTL/Hop Limit field.

3.17.4. Relaying the NA

When the Relay receives the (double-encapsulated) NA message from the ROR, it discards the outer IP header and determines that the ROS is the next hop by consulting its standard IP forwarding table for the SPAN header destination address. The Relay then forwards the SPAN message toward the ROS the same as for any IP router. The final-hop Relay in the SPAN will encapsulate the message in an outer IP header when it delivers the message to the ROS.

3.17.5. Processing the NA

When the ROS receives the (double-encapsulated) solicited NA message, it discards the outer IP and SPAN headers. The ROS next verifies the Nonce and Timestamp values, then creates an asymmetric neighbor cache entry for the target Client and caches all information found in the
solicited NA TLLAOs. The ROS finally sets the asymmetric neighbor cache entry lifetime to ReachableTime seconds.

3.17.6. Route Optimization Maintenance

Following route optimization, if the ROS and ROR are on the same SPAN segment the ROS forwards future data packets directly to the target Client using the cached link-layer information instead of through a dogleg route involving unnecessary Servers and/or Relays. Otherwise, the ROS forwards future data packets into the SPAN using the ROS’s SPAN address as the source address and the ROR’s SPAN address as the destination address. In both cases, the route optimization is shared by all sources that send packets to the target Client via the ROS, i.e., and not just the original source Client.

While new data packets destined to the target are flowing through the ROS, it sends additional NS messages to the ROR before ReachableTime expires to receive a fresh solicited NA message the same as described in the previous sections. The ROS then updates the asymmetric neighbor cache entry to refresh ReachableTime, while the ROR adds or updates the ROS address to the target Client’s symmetric neighbor cache entry Report list and with time set to ReportTime. While no data packets are flowing, the ROS instead allows ReachableTime for the asymmetric neighbor cache entry to expire. When ReachableTime expires, the ROS deletes the asymmetric neighbor cache entry. Future data packets flowing through the ROS will again trigger a new route optimization exchange while initial data packets travel over a suboptimal route via Servers and/or Relays.

The ROS may also receive unsolicited NA messages from the ROR at any time. If there is an asymmetric neighbor cache entry for the target, the ROS updates the link-layer information but does not update ReachableTime since the receipt of an unsolicited NA does not confirm that the forward path is still working. If there is no asymmetric neighbor cache entry, the route optimization source simply discards the unsolicited NA. Cases in which unsolicited NA messages are generated are specified in Section 3.19.

In this arrangement, the ROS holds an asymmetric neighbor cache entry for the ROR, but the ROR does not hold an asymmetric neighbor cache entry for the ROS. The route optimization neighbor relationship is therefore asymmetric and unidirectional. If the target Client also has packets to send back to the source Client, then a separate route optimization procedure is required in the reverse direction. But, there is no requirement that the forward and reverse paths be symmetric.
3.18. Neighbor Unreachability Detection (NUD)

AERO nodes perform Neighbor Unreachability Detection (NUD) the same as described in [RFC4861]. NUD is performed either reactively in response to persistent link-layer errors (see Section 3.14) or proactively to confirm bi-directional reachability. The NUD algorithm may further be seeded by neighbor discovery hints of forward progress, but care must be taken to avoid inferring reachability based on spoofed information.

When an AERO node sends an NS/NA message used for NUD, it uses one of its AERO addresses as the IPv6 source address and an AERO address of the neighbor as the IPv6 destination address, but does not include S/TLLA0s. When an ROR directs an ROS to one or more target addresses, the ROS SHOULD proactively test the direct path to each target address by sending an initial NS message to elicit a solicited NA response. While testing the path, the source node can optionally continue sending packets via its default router, maintain a small queue of packets until target reachability is confirmed, or (optimistically) allow packets to flow directly to the target.

Note that AERO nodes may have multiple underlying interface paths toward the target neighbor. In that case, NUD SHOULD be performed over each underlying interface individually and the node should only consider the neighbor unreachable if NUD fails over multiple underlying interface paths.

Underlying interface paths that pass NUD tests are marked as "reachable", while those that do not are marked as "unreachable". These markings inform the AERO interface forwarding algorithm specified in Section 3.9.

Proxies can perform NUD to verify Server reachability on behalf of their proxied Clients so that the Clients need not engage in NUD messaging themselves.

3.19. Mobility Management and Quality of Service (QoS)

AERO is an example of a Distributed Mobility Management (DMM) service. Each Server is responsible for only a subset of the Clients on the AERO link, as opposed to a Centralized Mobility Management (CMM) service where there is a single network mobility service for all Clients. Clients coordinate with their associated Servers via RS/RA exchanges to maintain the DMM profile, and the AERO routing system tracks all current Client/Server peering relationships.

Servers provide a Mobility Anchor Point (MAP) for their dependent Clients. Clients are responsible for maintaining neighbor
relationships with their Servers through periodic RS/RA exchanges, which also serves to confirm neighbor reachability. When a Client’s underlying interface address and/or QoS information changes, the Client is responsible for updating the Server with this new information. Note that for Proxyed interfaces, however, the Proxy can perform the RS/RA exchanges on the Client’s behalf.

Mobility management considerations are specified in the following sections.

### 3.19.1. Mobility Update Messaging

RORs (acting as MAPs) accommodate mobility and/or QoS change events by sending an unsolicited NA message to each ROS in the target Client’s Report list. When an ROR sends an unsolicited NA message, it sets the IPv6 source address to the Client’s AERO address and sets the IPv6 destination address to all-nodes multicast (ff02::1). The ROR also includes a first TLLAO for Interface ID 255 with Link Layer address set to the ROR link-layer address if the ROR and ROS are on the same segment; otherwise, set to the ROR SPAN address. If the ROS and ROR are on the same segment the ROR next includes additional TLLAOs for all of the target Client’s Interface IDs. The ROR then finally sends the message into the SPAN.

As for the hot-swap of interface cards discussed in Section 7.2.6 of [RFC4861], the transmission and reception of unsolicited NA messages is unreliable but provides a useful optimization. In well-connected Internetworks with robust data links unsolicited NA messages will be delivered with high probability, but in any case the ROR can optionally send up to MAX_NEIGHBOR_ADVERTISEMENT unsolicited NAs to each ROS to increase the likelihood that at least one will be received.

When an ROS receives an unsolicited NA message, it ignores the message if there is no existing neighbor cache entry for the Client. Otherwise, it uses the included TLLAOs to update the address and QoS information in the neighbor cache entry, but does not reset ReachableTime since the receipt of an unsolicited NA message from the target Server does not provide confirmation that any forward paths to the target Client are working.

If unsolicited NA messages are lost, the ROS may be left with stale address and/or QoS information for the Client for up to ReachableTime seconds. During this time, the ROS can continue sending packets to the target Client according to its current neighbor cache information but may receive persistent unsolicited NA messages as discussed in Section 3.19.2.
3.19.2. Forwarding Packets on Behalf of Departed Clients

When a Server receives packets with destination addresses that match a symmetric neighbor cache entry in the DEPARTED state, it forwards the packets according to the Client’s cached link layer address information, noting that the information may be stale. If the encapsulation source is in the Report list (i.e., if it is an ROS), the Server also sends an unsolicited NA message via the SPAN (subject to rate limiting) with a TLLAO with Interface ID 255 and with D set to 1. The ROS will then realize that it needs to set its asymmetric neighbor cache entry state for the target to DEPARTED, and SHOULD re-initiate route optimization after a short delay.

When a Proxy receives packets with destination addresses that match a proxy neighbor cache entry in the DEPARTED state, it forwards the packets to one of the target Client’s Servers. If the encapsulation source is neither one of the target Client’s Servers nor one of its proxy neighbor Clients, the Proxy also returns an unsolicited NA message via the SPAN (subject to rate limiting) with a single TLLAO with the target Client’s Interface ID and with D set to 1. The source will then realize that it needs to mark its neighbor cache entry Interface ID for the Proxy as "unreachable", and SHOULD re-initiate route optimization while continuing to forward packets according to the remaining neighbor cache entry state.

When a Server receives packets from a symmetric neighbor Client that are destined to the same Client, the Server marks the neighbor cache entry Interface ID for this path as "unreachable", and forwards the packets via a "reachable" Interface ID. If there are no "reachable" Interface IDs, the Server drops the packet.

When a Client receives packets with destination addresses that do not match one of its ACPs, it drops the packets silently.

3.19.3. Announcing Link-Layer Address and/or QoS Preference Changes

When a Client needs to change its link-layer addresses and/or QoS preferences (e.g., due to a mobility event), either the Client or Proxy sends RS messages to its Servers via the SPAN using the new link-layer address as the source address and with SLLAOs that include the new Client Port Number, Link-Layer Address and P(i) values. If the RS messages are sent solely for the purpose of updating QoS preferences without updating the link-layer address, the Port Number and Link-Layer Address are set to 0. If the RS message is not sent for the purpose of asserting a PD, the Prefix Length is set to 0.
Up to MAX_RTR_SOLICITATION RS messages MAY be sent in parallel with sending actual data packets in case one or more RAs are lost. If all RAs are lost, the Client SHOULD re-associate with a new Server.

3.19.4. Bringing New Links Into Service

When a Client needs to bring new underlying interfaces into service (e.g., when it activates a new data link), it sends RS messages to its Servers using the new link-layer address as the source address and with SLLAOs that include the new Client link-layer information. If the RS message is not sent for the purpose of asserting a PD, the Prefix Length is set to 0.

3.19.5. Removing Existing Links from Service

When a Client needs to remove existing underlying interfaces from service (e.g., when it de-activates an existing data link), it sends RS messages to its Servers with SLLAOs with the D flag set to 1. If the Client needs to send RS messages over an underlying interface other than the one being removed from service, it MUST include a current SLLAO for the sending interface as the first SLLAO and include SLLAOs for any underlying interfaces being removed from service as additional SLLAOs.

3.19.6. Implicit Mobility Management

AERO interface neighbors MAY provide a configuration option that allows them to perform implicit mobility management in which no ND messaging is used. In that case, the Client only transmits packets over a single interface at a time, and the neighbor always observes packets arriving from the Client from the same link-layer source address.

If the Client’s underlying interface address changes (either due to a readdressing of the original interface or switching to a new interface) the neighbor immediately updates the neighbor cache entry for the Client and begins accepting and sending packets to the Client’s new link-layer address. This implicit mobility method applies to use cases such as cellphones with both WiFi and Cellular interfaces where only one of the interfaces is active at a given time, and the Client automatically switches over to the backup interface if the primary interface fails.
3.19.7. Moving to a New Server

When a Client associates with a new Server, it performs the Client procedures specified in Section 3.15.2. The Client then sends an RS message with R set to 1 in the first SLLAO and with PD parameters over any working underlying interface to fully release itself from the old Server. The SLLAO also includes the link-layer address of the new Server if the new and old Servers are on the same segment; otherwise, it includes the SPAN address of the new Server. If the Client does not receive an RA reply after MAX_RTR_SOLICITATIONS attempts over multiple underlying interfaces, the old Server may have failed and the Client should discontinue its release attempts.

When the old Server processes the RS, it sends unsolicited NA messages with a single TLLAO with Interface ID set to 255 and with D set to 1 to all route optimization sources in the Client’s Report list. The Server also changes the symmetric neighbor cache entry state to DEPARTED, sets the link-layer address of the Client to the address found in the RS SLLAO, and sets a timer to DepartTime seconds. The Server then returns an RA message to the Client with Router Lifetime set to 0. After DepartTime seconds expires, the Server deletes the symmetric neighbor cache entry.

When the Client receives the RA message with Router Lifetime set to 0, it still must inform each of its remaining Proxies that it has released the old Server from service. To do so, it sends an RS over each remaining proxied underlying interface with destination set to the old Server’s AERO address and with R set to 1 in the first SLLAO but with no PD parameters. The Proxy will mark this Server as DEAPARTED and return an immediate RA without first performing an RS/RA exchange with the old Server.

Clients SHOULD NOT move rapidly between Servers in order to avoid causing excessive oscillations in the AERO routing system. Examples of when a Client might wish to change to a different Server include a Server that has gone unreachable, topological movements of significant distance, movement to a new geographic region, movement to a new segment, etc.

3.20. Multicast Considerations

When the underlying network does not support multicast, AERO Clients map link-scoped multicast addresses to the link-layer address of a Server, which acts as a multicast forwarding agent. The AERO Client also serves as an IGMP/MLD Proxy for its EUNs and/or hosted applications per [RFC4605] while using the link-layer address of the Server as the link-layer address for all multicast packets.
When the underlying network supports multicast, AERO nodes use the multicast address mapping specification found in [RFC2529] for IPv4 underlying networks and use a TBD site-scoped multicast mapping for IPv6 underlying networks. In that case, border routers must ensure that the encapsulated site-scoped multicast packets do not leak outside of the site spanned by the AERO link.

4. Direct Underlying Interfaces

When a Client’s AERO interface is configured over a Direct underlying interface, the neighbor at the other end of the Direct link can receive packets without any encapsulation. In that case, the Client sends packets over the Direct link according to the QoS preferences associated with its underlying interfaces. If the Direct underlying interface has the highest QoS preference, then the Client’s IP packets are transmitted directly to the peer without going through an underlying network. If other underlying interfaces have higher QoS preferences, then the Client’s IP packets are transmitted via a different underlying interface, which may result in the inclusion of Proxies, Servers and Relays in the communications path. Direct underlying interfaces must be tested periodically for reachability, e.g., via NUD.

5. Operation on AERO Links with /64 ASPs

IPv6 AERO links typically have ASPs that cover many candidate ACPs of length /64 or shorter. However, in some cases it may be desirable to use AERO over links that have only a /64 ASP. This can be accommodated by treating all Clients on the AERO link as simple hosts that receive /128 prefix delegations.

In that case, the Client sends an RS message to the Server the same as for ordinary AERO links. The Server responds with an RA message that includes one or more /128 prefixes (i.e., singleton addresses) that include the /64 ASP prefix along with an interface identifier portion to be assigned to the Client. The Client and Server then configure their AERO addresses based on the interface identifier portions of the /128s (i.e., the lower 64 bits) and not based on the /64 prefix (i.e., the upper 64 bits).

For example, if the ASP for the host-only IPv6 AERO link is 2001:db8:1000:2000::/64, each Client will receive one or more /128 IPv6 prefix delegations such as 2001:db8:1000:2000::1/128, 2001:db8:1000:2000::2/128, etc. When the Client receives the prefix delegations, it assigns the AERO addresses fe80::1, fe80::2, etc. to the AERO interface, and assigns the global IPv6 addresses (i.e., the /128s) to either the AERO interface or an internal virtual interface.
such as a loopback. In this arrangement, the Client conducts route optimization in the same sense as discussed in Section 3.17.

This specification has applicability for nodes that act as a Client on an "upstream" AERO link, but also act as a Server on "downstream" AERO links. More specifically, if the node acts as a Client to receive a /64 prefix from the upstream AERO link it can then act as a Server to provision /128s to Clients on downstream AERO links.

6. AERO Adaptations for SEcure Neighbor Discovery (SEND)

SEcure Neighbor Discovery (SEND) [RFC3971] and Cryptographically Generated Addresses (CGAs) [RFC3972] were designed to secure IPv6 ND messaging in environments where symmetric network and/or transport-layer security services are impractical (see: Section 10). AERO nodes that use SEND/CGA employ the following adaptations.

When a source AERO node prepares a SEND-protected ND message, it uses a link-local CGA as the IPv6 source address and writes the prefix embedded in its AERO address (i.e., instead of fe80::/64) in the CGA parameters Subnet Prefix field. When the neighbor receives the ND message, it first verifies the message checksum and SEND/CGA parameters while using the link-local prefix fe80::/64 (i.e., instead of the value in the Subnet Prefix field) to match against the IPv6 source address of the ND message.

The neighbor then derives the AERO address of the source by using the value in the Subnet Prefix field as the interface identifier of an AERO address. For example, if the Subnet Prefix field contains 2001:db8:1:2, the neighbor constructs the AERO address as fe80::2001:db8:1:2. The neighbor then caches the AERO address in the neighbor cache entry it creates for the source, and uses the AERO address as the IPv6 destination address of any ND message replies.

7. AERO Critical Infrastructure Considerations

AERO Relays are low-end to midrange Commercial off-the Shelf (COTS) standard IP routers with no AERO code. Relays must be provisioned, supported and managed by the AERO Link Service Provider. Cost for purchasing, configuring and managing Relays is nominal even for very large AERO links.

AERO Servers can be standard dedicated server platforms, but most often will be deployed as virtual machines in the cloud. The only requirements for Servers are that they can run the AERO user-level code and have at least one network interface with a public IP address. As with Relays, Servers must be provisioned, supported and managed by the AERO Link Service Provider. Cost for purchasing,
configuring and managing Servers is nominal especially for virtual Servers hosted in the cloud.

AERO Proxies are most often standard dedicated server platforms with one network interface connected to the secured enclave and a second interface connected to the public Internetwork. As with Servers, the only requirements are that they can run the AERO user-level code and have at least one interface with a public IP address. Proxies must be provisioned, supported and managed by the administrative authority for the secured enclave. Cost for purchasing, configuring and managing Proxies is nominal, and borne by the secured enclave administrative authority.

AERO Clients are most often mobile nodes, but fixed AERO Clients can also be used to attach large non-mobile networks to the AERO link. In that case, the AERO Client would be a fixed IPv6 router that would appear the same as for any Client, albeit with no mobility signaling requirements.

8. Implementation Status

An AERO implementation based on OpenVPN (https://openvpn.net/) was announced on the v6ops mailing list on January 10, 2018. The latest version is available at: http://linkupnetworks.net/aero/AERO-OpenVPN-2.0.tgz.

An initial public release of the AERO proof-of-concept source code was announced on the intarea mailing list on August 21, 2015. The latest version is available at: http://linkupnetworks.net/aero/aero-4.0.0.tgz.

A survey of public domain and commercial SEND implementations is available at https://www.ietf.org/mail-archive/web/its/current/msg02758.html.

9. IANA Considerations

The IANA has assigned a 4-octet Private Enterprise Number "45282" for AERO in the "enterprise-numbers" registry.

The IANA has assigned the UDP port number "8060" for an earlier experimental version of AERO [RFC6706]. This document obsoletes [RFC6706] and claims the UDP port number "8060" for all future use.

No further IANA actions are required.
10. Security Considerations

AERO link security considerations include considerations for both the data plane and the control plane.

Data plane security considerations are the same as for ordinary Internet communications. Application endpoints in AERO Clients and their EUNs SHOULD use application-layer security services such as TLS/SSL [RFC8446], DTLS [RFC6347] or SSH [RFC4251] to assure the same level of protection as for critical secured Internet services. AERO Clients that require host-based VPN services SHOULD use symmetric network and/or transport layer security services such as TLS/SSL, DTLS, IPsec [RFC4301], etc. AERO Proxies and Servers can also provide a network-based VPN service on behalf of the Client, e.g., if the Client is located within a secured enclave and cannot establish a VPN on its own behalf.

Control plane security considerations are the same as for standard IPv6 Neighbor Discovery [RFC4861], except that the MAP list also improves security by providing AERO Clients with an authentic list of trusted Servers. As fixed infrastructure elements, AERO Proxies and Servers SHOULD pre-configure security associations for one or more Relays on their SPAN segments (e.g., using pre-placed keys) and use symmetric network and/or transport layer security services such as IPsec, TLS/SSL or DTLS to secure ND messages. The AERO Relays of all SPAN segments in turn SHOULD pre-configure security associations for their neighboring AERO Relays. AERO Clients that connect to secured enclaves need not apply security to their ND messages, since the messages will be intercepted by an enclave perimeter Proxy. AERO Clients located outside of secured enclaves SHOULD use symmetric network and/or transport layer security to secure their ND exchanges with Servers, but when there are many prospective neighbors with dynamically changing connectivity an asymmetric security service such as SEND may be needed (see: Section 6).

AERO Servers and Relays present targets for traffic amplification Denial of Service (DoS) attacks. This concern is no different than for widely-deployed VPN security gateways in the Internet, where attackers could send spoofed packets to the gateways at high data rates. This can be mitigated by connecting Relays and Servers over dedicated links with no connections to the Internet and/or when connections to the Internet are only permitted through well-managed firewalls. Traffic amplification DoS attacks can also target an AERO Client’s low data rate links. This is a concern not only for Clients located on the open Internet but also for Clients in secured enclaves. AERO Servers and Proxies can institute rate limits that protect Clients from receiving packet floods that could DoS low data rate links.
AERO Relays must implement ingress filtering to avoid a spoofing attack in which spurious SPAN messages are injected into an AERO link from an outside attacker. Also, since an AERO link spans one or Internetwork segments, restricting access to the link can be achieved by having Internetwork border routers implement ingress filtering to discard encapsulated packets injected into the link by an outside agent.

AERO Clients MUST ensure that their connectivity is not used by unauthorized nodes on their EUNs to gain access to a protected network, i.e., AERO Clients that act as routers MUST NOT provide routing services for unauthorized nodes. (This concern is no different than for ordinary hosts that receive an IP address delegation but then "share" the address with other nodes via some form of Internet connection sharing such as tethering.)

The MAP list MUST be well-managed and secured from unauthorized tampering, even though the list contains only public information. The MAP list can be conveyed to the Client, e.g., through secure upload of a static file, through DNS lookups, etc.

Although public domain and commercial SEND implementations exist, concerns regarding the strength of the cryptographic hash algorithm have been documented [RFC6273] [RFC4982].

Security considerations for accepting link-layer ICMP messages and reflected packets are discussed throughout the document.
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Appendix A. AERO Alternate Encapsulations

When GUE encapsulation is not needed, AERO can use common encapsulations such as IP-in-IP [RFC2003][RFC2473][RFC4213], Generic Routing Encapsulation (GRE) [RFC2784][RFC2890] and others. The encapsulation is therefore only differentiated from non-AERO tunnels through the application of AERO control messaging and not through, e.g., a well-known UDP port number.

As for GUE encapsulation, alternate AERO encapsulation formats may require encapsulation layer fragmentation. For simple IP-in-IP encapsulation, an IPv6 fragment header is inserted directly between the inner and outer IP headers when needed, i.e., even if the outer header is IPv4. The IPv6 Fragment Header is identified to the outer IP layer by its IP protocol number, and the Next Header field in the IPv6 Fragment Header identifies the inner IP header version. For GRE encapsulation, a GRE fragment header is inserted within the GRE header [I-D.templin-intarea-grefrag].

Figure 5 shows the AERO IP-in-IP encapsulation format before any fragmentation is applied:
Minimal Encapsulation in IPv4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>_outer IPv4 Header</th>
<th>Outer IPv6 Header</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IPv6 Frag Header (optional)</td>
<td>IPv6 Frag Header (optional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner IP Header</td>
<td>Inner IP Header</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~ Inner Packet Body ~</td>
<td>~ Inner Packet Body ~</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Minimal Encapsulation in IPv6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outer IPv4 Header</th>
<th>Outer IPv6 Header</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GRE Header</td>
<td>GRE Header</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(with checksum, key, etc..)</td>
<td>(with checksum, key, etc..)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRE Fragment Header (optional)</td>
<td>GRE Fragment Header (optional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner IP Header</td>
<td>Inner IP Header</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~ Inner Packet Body ~</td>
<td>~ Inner Packet Body ~</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5: Minimal Encapsulation Format using IP-in-IP

Figure 6 shows the AERO GRE encapsulation format before any fragmentation is applied:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outer IP Header</th>
<th>GRE Header</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(with checksum, key, etc..)</td>
<td>(with checksum, key, etc..)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRE Fragment Header (optional)</td>
<td>GRE Fragment Header (optional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner IP Header</td>
<td>Inner IP Header</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~ Inner Packet Body ~</td>
<td>~ Inner Packet Body ~</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6: Minimal Encapsulation Using GRE

Alternate encapsulation may be preferred in environments where GUE encapsulation would add unnecessary overhead. For example, certain low-bandwidth wireless data links may benefit from a reduced encapsulation overhead.
GUE encapsulation can traverse network paths that are inaccessible to non-UDP encapsulations, e.g., for crossing Network Address Translators (NATs). More and more, network middleboxes are also being configured to discard packets that include anything other than a well-known IP protocol such as UDP and TCP. It may therefore be necessary to determine the potential for middlebox filtering before enabling alternate encapsulation in a given environment.

In addition to IP-in-IP, GRE and GUE, AERO can also use security encapsulations such as IPsec, TLS/SSL, DTLS, etc. In that case, AERO control messaging and route determination occur before security encapsulation is applied for outgoing packets and after security decapsulation is applied for incoming packets.

AERO is especially well suited for use with VPN system encapsulations such as OpenVPN [OVPN].

Appendix B. S/TLLAO Extensions for Special-Purpose Links

The AERO S/TLLAO format specified in Section 3.6 includes a Length value of 5 (i.e., 5 units of 8 octets). However, special-purpose links may extend the basic format to include additional fields and a Length value larger than 5.

For example, adaptation of AERO to the Aeronautical Telecommunications Network with Internet Protocol Services (ATN/IPS) includes link selection preferences based on transport port numbers in addition to the existing DSCP-based preferences. ATN/IPS nodes maintain a map of transport port numbers to 64 possible preference fields, e.g., TCP port 22 maps to preference field 8, TCP port 443 maps to preference field 20, UDP port 8060 maps to preference field 34, etc. The extended S/TLLAO format for ATN/IPS is shown in Figure 7, where the Length value is 7 and the ‘Q(i)’ fields provide link preferences for the corresponding transport port number.
Figure 7: ATN/IPS Extended S/TLLAO Format

**Appendix C. Change Log**

<< RFC Editor - remove prior to publication >>

Changes from draft-templin-intarea-6706bis-10 to draft-templin-intrea-6706bis-11:
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- Proxy uses SEND to protect RS and authenticate RA (Client does not use SEND, but rather relies on subnetwork security. When the Proxy receives an RS from the Client, it creates a new RS using its own addresses as the source and uses SEND with CGAs to send a new RS to the Server.
- Emphasize distributed mobility management.
- AERO address-based RS injection of ACP into underlying routing system.

Changes from draft-templin-aerolink-82 to draft-templin-intarea-6706bis-00:

- Document use of NUD (NS/NA) for reliable link-layer address updates as an alternative to unreliable unsolicited NA. Consistent with Section 7.2.6 of RFC4861.
- Server adds additional layer of encapsulation between outer and inner headers of NS/NA messages for transmission through Relays that act as vanilla IPv6 routers. The messages include the AERO Server Subnet Router Anycast address as the source and the Subnet Router Anycast address corresponding to the Client’s ACP as the destination.
- Clients use Subnet Router Anycast address as the encapsulation source address when the access network does not provide a topologically-fixed address.
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