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Abstract

The Multicast Source Discovery Protocol (MSDP) describes a mechanism to connect multiple IP Version 4 Protocol Independent Multicast Sparse-Mode (PIM-SM) domains together. Each PIM-SM domain uses its own independent Rendezvous Point (RP) and does not have to depend on RPs in other domains. This document reflects existing MSDP implementations.
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1. Introduction

The Multicast Source Discovery Protocol (MSDP) describes a mechanism to connect multiple PIM Sparse-Mode (PIM-SM) [RFC2362] domains together. Each PIM-SM domain uses its own independent RP(s) and does not have to depend on RPs in other domains. Advantages of this approach include:

- No Third-party resource dependencies on a domain’s RP
- PIM-SM domains can rely on their own RPs only.
- Receiver only Domains
- Domains with only receivers get data without globally advertising group membership.

Note that MSDP may be used with protocols other than PIM-SM, but such usage is not specified in this memo.
The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

2. Overview

MSDP-speaking routers in a PIM-SM domain have a MSDP peering relationship with MSDP peers in another domain. The peering relationship is made up of a TCP connection in which control information is exchanged. Each domain has one or more connections to this virtual topology.

The purpose of this topology is to allow domains to discover multicast sources from other domains. If the multicast sources are of interest to a domain which has receivers, the normal source-tree building mechanism in PIM-SM will be used to deliver multicast data over an inter-domain distribution tree.

3. Procedure

When an RP in a PIM-SM domain first learns of a new sender, e.g., via PIM register messages, it constructs a "Source-Active" (SA) message and sends it to its MSDP peers. All RPs, which intend to originate or receive SA messages, must establish MSDP peering with other RPs, either directly or via an intermediate MSDP peer. The SA message contains the following fields:

- Source address of the data source.
- Group address the data source sends to.
- IP address of the RP.

Note that an RP that isn’t a DR on a shared network SHOULD NOT originate SA’s for directly connected sources on that shared network; it should only originate in response to receiving Register messages from the DR.

Each MSDP peer receives and forwards the message away from the RP address in a "peer-RPF flooding" fashion. The notion of peer-RPF flooding is with respect to forwarding SA messages. The Multicast RPF Routing Information Base (MRIB) is examined to determine which peer towards the originating RP of the SA message is selected. Such a peer is called an "RPF peer". See section 13 for the details of peer-RPF forwarding.
If the MSDP peer receives the SA from a non-RPF peer towards the originating RP, it will drop the message. Otherwise, it forwards the message to all its MSDP peers (except the one from which it received the SA message).

When an MSDP peer which is also an RP for its own domain receives a new SA message, it determines if there are any group members within the domain interested in any group described by an (Source, Group), or (S,G) entry within the SA message. That is, the RP checks for a (*,G) entry with a non-empty outgoing interface list; this implies that some system in the domain is interested in the group. In this case, the RP triggers a (S,G) join event towards the data source as if a Join/Prune message was received addressed to the RP itself. This sets up a branch of the source-tree to this domain. Subsequent data packets arrive at the RP via this tree branch, and are forwarded down the shared-tree inside the domain. If leaf routers choose to join the source-tree they have the option to do so according to existing PIM-SM conventions. Finally, if an RP in a domain receives a PIM Join message for a new group G, the RP SHOULD trigger a (S,G) join event for each active (S,G) for that group in its SA cache.

This procedure has been affectionately named flood-and-join because if any RP is not interested in the group, they can ignore the SA message. Otherwise, they join a distribution tree.

4. Caching

A MSDP speaker MUST cache SA messages. Caching allows pacing of MSDP messages as well as reducing join latency for new receivers of a group G at an originating RP which has existing MSDP (S,G) state. In addition, caching greatly aids in diagnosis and debugging of various problems.

An MSDP speaker must provide a mechanism to reduce the forwarding of new SA’s. The SA-cache is used to reduce storms and performs this by not forwarding SA’s unless they are in the cache or are new SA packets that the MSDP speaker will cache for the first time. The SA-cache also reduces storms by advertising from the cache at a period of no more than twice per SA-Advertisement-Timer interval and not less than 1 time per SA Advertisement period.

5. Timers

The main timers for MSDP are: SA-Advertisement-Timer, SA Cache Entry timer, Peer Hold Timer, KeepAlive timer, and ConnectRetry timer. Each is considered below.
5.1. SA-Advertisement-Timer

RPs which originate SA messages do so periodically as long as there is data being sent by the source. There is one SA-Advertisement-Timer covering the sources that an RP may advertise. [SA-Advertisement-Period] MUST be 60 seconds. An RP MUST not send more than one periodic SA message for a given (S,G) within an SA Advertisement interval. Originating periodic SA messages is required to keep announcements alive in caches. Finally, an originating RP SHOULD trigger the transmission of an SA message as soon as it receives data from an internal source for the first time. This initial SA message may be in addition to the periodic sa-message forwarded in that first 60 seconds for that (S,G).

5.2. SA-Advertisement-Timer Processing

An RP MUST spread the generation of periodic SA messages (i.e., messages advertising the active sources for which it is the RP) over its reporting interval (i.e., SA-Advertisement-Period). An RP starts the SA-Advertisement-Timer when the MSDP process is configured. When the timer expires, an RP resets the timer to [SA-Advertisement-Period] seconds, and begins the advertisement of its active sources. Active sources are advertised in the following manner: An RP packs its active sources into an SA message until the largest MSDP packet that can be sent is built or there are no more sources, and then sends the message. This process is repeated periodically within the SA-Advertisement-Period in such a way that all of the RP’s sources are advertised. Note that since MSDP is a periodic protocol, an implementation SHOULD send all cached SA messages when a connection is established. Finally, the timer is deleted when the MSDP process is de-configured.

5.3. SA Cache Timeout (SA-State Timer)

Each entry in an SA Cache has an associated SA-State Timer. A (S,G)-SA-State-Timer is started when an (S,G)-SA message is initially received by an MSDP peer. The timer is reset to [SG-State-Period] if another (S,G)-SA message is received before the (S,G)-SA-State Timer expires. [SG-State-Period] MUST NOT be less than [SA-Advertisement-Period] + [SA-Hold-Down-Period].

5.4. Peer Hold Timer

The Hold Timer is initialized to [HoldTime-Period] when the peer’s transport connection is established, and is reset to [HoldTime-Period] when any MSDP message is received. Finally, the timer is
deleted when the peer’s transport connection is closed. [HoldTime-Period] MUST be at least three seconds. The recommended value for [HoldTime-Period] is 75 seconds.

5.5. KeepAlive Timer

Once an MSDP transport connection is established, each side of the connection sends a KeepAlive message and sets a KeepAlive timer. If the KeepAlive timer expires, the local system sends a KeepAlive message and restarts its KeepAlive timer. The KeepAlive timer is set to [KeepAlive-Period] when the peer comes up. The timer is reset to [KeepAlive-Period] each time an MSDP message is sent to the peer, and reset when the timer expires. Finally, the KeepAlive timer is deleted when the peer’s transport connection is closed. [KeepAlive-Period] MUST be less than [HoldTime-Period], and MUST be at least one second. The recommended value for [KeepAlive-Period] is 60 seconds.

5.6. ConnectRetry Timer

The ConnectRetry timer is used by the MSDP peer with the lower IP address to transition from INACTIVE to CONNECTING states. There is one timer per peer, and the [ConnectRetry-Period] SHOULD be set to 30 seconds. The timer is initialized to [ConnectRetry-Period] when an MSDP speaker attempts to actively open a TCP connection to its peer (see section 15, event E2, action A2). When the timer expires, the peer retries the connection and the timer is reset to [ConnectRetry-Period]. It is deleted if either the connection transitions into ESTABLISHED state or the peer is de-configured.

6. Intermediate MSDP Peers

Intermediate MSDP speakers do not originate periodic SA messages on behalf of sources in other domains. In general, an RP MUST only originate an SA for a source which would register to it, and ONLY RPs may originate SA messages. Intermediate MSDP speakers MAY forward SA messages received from other domains.

7. SA Filtering and Policy

As the number of (S,G) pairs increases in the Internet, an RP may want to filter which sources it describes in SA messages. Also, filtering may be used as a matter of policy which at the same time can reduce state. MSDP peers in transit domains should not filter SA
messages or the flood-and-join model can not guarantee that sources will be known throughout the Internet (i.e., SA filtering by transit domains may cause undesired lack of connectivity). In general, policy should be expressed using MBGP [RFC2858]. This will cause MSDP messages to flow in the desired direction and peer-RPF fail otherwise. An exception occurs at an administrative scope [RFC2365] boundary. In particular, a SA message for a (S,G) MUST NOT be sent to peers which are on the other side of an administrative scope boundary for G.

8. Encapsulated Data Packets

The RP MAY encapsulate multicast data from the source. An interested RP may decapsulate the packet, which SHOULD be forwarded as if a PIM register encapsulated packet was received. That is, if packets are already arriving over the interface toward the source, then the packet is dropped. Otherwise, if the outgoing interface list is non-null, the packet is forwarded appropriately. Note that when doing data encapsulation, an implementation MUST bound the time during which packets are encapsulated.

This allows for small bursts to be received before the multicast tree is built back toward the source’s domain. For example, an implementation SHOULD encapsulate at least the first packet to provide service to bursty sources.

9. Other Scenarios

MSDP is not limited to deployment across different routing domains. It can be used within a routing domain when it is desired to deploy multiple RPs for the same group ranges such as with Anycast RP’s. As long as all RPs have a interconnected MSDP topology, each can learn about active sources as well as RPs in other domains.

10. MSDP Peer-RPF Forwarding

The MSDP Peer-RPF Forwarding rules are used for forwarding SA messages throughout an MSDP enabled internet. Unlike the RPF check used when forwarding data packets, which generally compares the packet’s source address against the interface upon which the packet was received, the Peer-RPF check compares the RP address carried in the SA message against the MSDP peer from which the message was received.

10.1. Definitions

The following definitions are used in the description of the Peer-RPF Forwarding Rules:
10.1.1. Multicast RPF Routing Information Base

The Multicast RPF Routing Information Base (MRIB) is the multicast topology table. It is typically derived from the unicast routing table or from other routing protocols such as multi-protocol BGP [RFC2858].

10.1.2. Peer-RPF Route

The Peer-RPF route is the route that the MRIB chooses for a given address. The Peer-RPF route for a SA’s originating RP is used to select the peer from which the SA is accepted.

10.1.3. Peer-RPF Forwarding Rules

An SA message originated by R and received by X from N is accepted if N is the peer-RPF neighbor for X, and is discarded otherwise.

```
MPP(R,N)      MP(N,X)
R --------------> N ---------------> X
SA(S,G,R)     SA(S,G,R)
```

MP(N,X) is an MSDP peering between N and X. MPP(R,N) is an MSDP peering path (zero or more MSDP peers) between R and N, e.g.,
MPP(R,N) = MP(R, A) + MP(A, B) + MP(B, N). SA(S,G,R) is an SA message for source S on group G originated by an RP R.

The peer-RPF neighbor N is chosen deterministically, using the first of the following rules that matches. In particular, N is the RPF neighbor of X with respect to R if

(i). \( N == R \) (X has an MSDP peering with R).

(ii). \( N \) is the eBGP NEXT_HOP of the Peer-RPF route for R.

(iii). The Peer-RPF route for R is learned through a distance-vector or path-vector routing protocol (e.g., BGP, RIP, DVMRP) and N is the neighbor that advertised the Peer-RPF route for R (e.g., N is the iBGP advertiser of the route for R), or N is the IGP next hop for R if the route for R is learned via a link-state protocol (e.g., OSPF [RFC2328] or IS-IS [RFC1142]).

(iv). \( N \) resides in the closest AS in the best path towards R. If multiple MSDP peers reside in the closest AS, the peer with the highest IP address is the rpf-peer.

(v). \( N \) is configured as the static RPF-peer for R.
10.2. MSDP mesh-group semantics

An MSDP mesh-group is an operational mechanism for reducing SA flooding, typically in an intra-domain setting. In particular, when some subset of a domain's MSDP speakers are fully meshed, they can be configured into a mesh-group.

Note that mesh-groups assume that a member doesn't have to forward an SA to other members of the mesh-group because the originator will forward to all members. To be able for the originator to forward to all members (and to have each member also be a potential originator), the mesh-group must be a full mesh of MSDP peering among all members.

The semantics of the mesh-group are as follows:

(i). If a member R of a mesh-group M receives a SA message from an MSDP peer that is also a member of mesh-group M, R accepts the SA message and forwards it to all of its peers that are not part of mesh-group M. R MUST NOT forward the SA message to other members of mesh-group M.

(ii). If a member R of a mesh-group M receives an SA message from an MSDP peer that is not a member of mesh-group M, and the SA message passes the peer-RPF check, then R forwards the SA message to all members of mesh-group M and to any other msdp peers.

11. MSDP Connection State Machine

MSDP uses TCP as its transport protocol. In a peering relationship, one MSDP peer listens for new TCP connections on the well-known port 639. The other side makes an active connect to this port. The peer with the higher IP address will listen. This connection establishment algorithm avoids call collision. Therefore, there is no need for a call collision procedure. It should be noted, however, that the disadvantage of this approach is that the startup time depends completely upon the active side and its connect retry timer; the passive side cannot cause the connection to be established.

An MSDP peer starts in the DISABLED state. MSDP peers establish peering sessions according to the following state machine:
11.1. Events

E1) Enable MSDP peering with P
E2) Own IP address < P’s IP address
E3) Own IP address > P’s IP address
E4) TCP established (active side)
E5) TCP established (passive side)
E6) ConnectRetry timer expired
E7) Disable MSDP peering with P (e.g., when one’s own address is changed)
E8) Hold Timer expired
E9) MSDP TLV format error detected
E10) Any other error detected

11.2. Actions

A1) Allocate resources for peering with P Compare one’s own and peer’s IP addresses
A2) TCP active OPEN Set ConnectRetry timer to [ConnectRetry-Period]
A3) TCP passive OPEN (listen)
A4) Delete ConnectRetry timer Send KeepAlive TLV
   Set KeepAlive timer to [KeepAlive-Period]
   Set Hold Timer to [HoldTime-Period]
A5) Send KeepAlive TLV
   Set KeepAlive timer to [KeepAlive-Period]
   Set Hold Timer to [HoldTime-Period]
A6) Abort TCP active OPEN attempt
   Release resources allocated for peering with P
A7) Abort TCP passive OPEN attempt
   Release resources allocated for peering with P
A8) Close the TCP connection
   Release resources allocated for peering with P
A9) Drop the packet

11.3. Peer-specific Events

The following peer-specific events can occur in the ESTABLISHED state, they do not cause a state transition. Appropriate actions are listed for each event.

*) KeepAlive timer expired:
   -> Send KeepAlive TLV
   -> Set KeepAlive timer to [KeepAlive-Period]
*) KeepAlive TLV received:
   -> Set Hold Timer to [HoldTime-Period]
*) Source-Active TLV received:
   -> Set Hold Timer to [HoldTime-Period]
   -> Run Peer-RPF Forwarding algorithm
   -> Set KeepAlive timer to [KeepAlive-Period] for those peers
      the Source-Active TLV is forwarded to
   -> Send information to PIM-SM
   -> Store information in cache

11.4. Peer-independent Events

There are also a number of events that affect more than one peering session, but still require actions to be performed on a per-peer basis.

*) SA-Advertisement-Timer expired:
   -> Start periodic transmission of Source-Active TLV(s)
   -> Set KeepAlive timer to [KeepAlive-Period] each time a
      Source-Active TLV is sent
*) MSDP learns of a new active internal source (e.g., PIM-SM
   register received for a new source):
   -> Send Source-Active TLV
   -> Set KeepAlive timer to [KeepAlive-Period]
*) SG-State-Timer expired (one timer per cache entry):
-> Implementation specific, typically mark the cache entry for deletion

12. Packet Formats

MSDP messages are encoded in TLV format. If an implementation receives a TLV whose length exceeds the maximum TLV length specified below, the TLV SHOULD be accepted. Any additional data, including possible next TLV’s in the same message, SHOULD be ignored, and the MSDP session should not be reset.

12.1. MSDP TLV format

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+---------------+-----------------+------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Length</td>
<td>Value ...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Type (8 bits)
Describes the format of the Value field.

Length (16 bits)
Length of Type, Length, and Value fields in octets. Minimum length required is 4 octets, except for Keepalive messages. The maximum TLV length is 9192.

Value (variable length)
Format is based on the Type value. See below. The length of the value field is Length field minus 3. All reserved fields in the Value field MUST be transmitted as zeros and ignored on receipt.

12.2. Defined TLVs

The following TLV Types are defined:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>IPv4 Source-Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>IPv4 Source-Active Request</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>IPv4 Source-Active Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>KeepAlive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Reserved (Previously: Notification)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Each TLV is described below.

In addition, the following TLV Types are assigned but not described in this memo:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>MSDP traceroute in progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>MSDP traceroute reply</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12.2.1. IPv4 Source-Active TLV

The maximum size SA message that can be sent is 9192 octets. The 9192 octet size does not include the TCP, IP, layer-2 headers.

```
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|       1       |           x + y               |  Entry Count  |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                          RP Address                           |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                          Reserved            |  Sprefix Len  |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                         Group Address                         |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                         Source Address                        |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
```

Type

IPv4 Source-Active TLV is type 1.

Length x

Is the length of the control information in the message. x is 8 octets (for the first two 32-bit quantities) plus 12 times Entry Count octets.

Length y

If 0, then there is no data encapsulated. Otherwise an IPv4 packet follows and y is the value of the total length field in the header of the encapsulated IP packet. If there are multiple (S,G) entries in an SA message, only the last entry may have encapsulated data and it must reflect the source and destination addresses in the header of the encapsulated IP packet.
Entry Count
Is the count of z entries (note above) which follow the RP address field. This is so multiple (S,G)s from the same domain can be encoded efficiently for the same RP address. An SA message containing encapsulated data typically has an entry count of 1 (i.e., only contains a single entry, for the (S,G) representing the encapsulated packet).

RP Address
The address of the RP in the domain the source has become active in.

Reserved
The Reserved field MUST be transmitted as zeros and MUST be ignored by a receiver.

Sprefix Len
The route prefix length associated with source address. This field MUST be transmitted as 32 (/32).

Group Address
The group address the active source has sent data to.

Source Address
The IP address of the active source.

Multiple (S,G) entries MAY appear in the same SA and can be batched for efficiency at the expense of data latency. This would typically occur on intermediate forwarding of SA messages.

12.2.2. KeepAlive TLV
A KeepAlive TLV is sent to an MSDP peer if and only if there were no MSDP messages sent to the peer within [KeepAlive-Period] seconds. This message is necessary to keep the MSDP connection alive.

```
0                   1                   2                   3
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|       4       |             3                 |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
```

The length of the message is 3 octets which encompasses the one octet Type field and the two octet Length field.
13. MSDP Error Handling

If an MSDP message is received with a TLV format error, the session SHOULD be reset with that peer. MSDP messages with other errors, such as unrecognized type code, received from MSDP peers, SHOULD be silently discarded and the session SHOULD not be reset.

14. SA Data Encapsulation

As discussed earlier, TCP encapsulation of data in SA messages MAY be supported for backwards compatibility with legacy MSDP peers.

15. Applicability Statement

MSDP is used primarily in two deployment scenarios:

15.1. Between PIM Domains

MSDP can be used between PIM domains to convey information about active sources available in other domains. MSDP peering used in such cases is generally one to one peering, and utilizes the deterministic peer-RPF rules described in this spec (i.e., does not use mesh-groups). Peerings can be aggregated on a single MSDP peer, typically from one to hundreds of peerings, similar in scale, although not necessarily consistent, with BGP peerings.

15.2. Between Anycast-RPs

MSDP is also used between Anycast-RPs [RFC3446] within a PIM domain to synchronize information about the active sources being served by each Anycast-RP peer (by virtue of IGP reachability). MSDP peering used in this scenario is typically based on MSDP mesh groups, where anywhere from two to tens of peers can comprise a given mesh group, although more than ten is not typical. One or more of these mesh-group peers may then also have additional one-to-one peering with msdp peers outside that PIM domain as described in scenario A, for discovery of external sources. MSDP for anycast-RP without external MSDP peering is a valid deployment option and common.
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18. Security Considerations

An MSDP implementation MUST implement Keyed MD5 [RFC2385] to secure control messages, and MUST be capable of interoperating with peers that do not support it. However, if one side of the connection is configured with Keyed MD5 and the other side is not, the connection SHOULD NOT be established.

In addition, to mitigate state explosion during denial of service and other attacks, SA filters and limits SHOULD be used with MSDP to limit the sources and groups that will be passed between RPs [DEPLOY]. These filtering and limiting functions may include, for example, access lists of source or group addresses which should not be propagated to other domains using MSDP, the absolute highest acceptable number of SA-state entries or a rate-limit of for the creation of new SA-state entries after the connection has been established.

If follow-on work is done in this area, a more robust integrity mechanism, such as HMAC-SHA1 [RFC2104, RFC2202] ought to be employed.
19. IANA Considerations

This document creates a new namespace called "MSDP TLV Values" that the IANA will manage. The initial seven MSDP TLV values are specified in Section 12.2. The following two sections describe the rules for allocating new MSDP TLV values.

19.1. IANA Allocated TLV Range

MSDP TLV values in the range [8,200] (inclusive) are to be allocated using an IESG Approval or Standards Action process [RFC2434].

19.2. Experimental TLV Range

TLV values in the range [201,255] (inclusive) are allocated for experimental use.
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